

### SCOTTISH BORDERS LEADER PROGRAMME 2014-2020

Local Action Group meeting

24 January 2017 Tweed Horizons – 10am- 2.40pm

#### MINUTES

### Present:

Heather Batsch, The Bridge (HB) - Voluntary Clare de Bolle, YouthBorders (CdB) - Voluntary Luke Comins, Tweed Forum (LC) - Voluntary Gordon Harrison, Scottish Borders Community Council Network (GH) - Voluntary Bryan McGrath, Scottish Borders Council (BMcG) - Public Jane Rosegrant, Borders Forest Trust (JR) – Voluntary Bosco Santimano, You Can Cook (BS) – Voluntary Annabelle Scott, Mssrs A A Scott (AS) - Private Ian Tod, The Hippodrome Arts Centre CIC Ltd (IT) - Voluntary Hans Waltl, Federation of Small Businesses (HW) - Private Gary White, Peebles CAN (GW) - Voluntary

### Secretariat:

Hugh Williams, Scottish Borders Council (Principal Officer Rural) (HBW) Fiona McDougall, Scottish Borders Council (LEADER Co-ordinator) (FM) Simon Lynch, Scottish Borders Council (LEADER Facilitator) (SL) Bartje Magee, Scottish Borders Council (European Programme Support Officer) (BM) Nesta Todd, Scottish Borders Council (European Programme Support Officer) (NT)

		Action
1.0	Apologies	
	Jules Horne, Borders Creative (JH) – Private	
	Ian MacDonald, NFU Scotland (IMacD) – Private	
	Andrew Mitchell, Berwickshire Community Councils' Forum (AM) – Voluntary	
	Douglas Wilson, VisitScotland (DW) – Public	
	Lateness Bryan McGrath, Scottish Borders Council (BMcG) – Public	
	Missing Frank Beattie, Scottish Enterprise (FB) - Public	
	Conflict of Interest	
	Frank Beattie – SE is major funder and partner in Mountain Bike project	
	Bosco Santimano – Has an interest in the Abundant Borders Project	
2.0	Minutes of last meeting and matters arising:	
	The minutes were adopted as being a true reflection of the last meeting.	
	John Dougan, Forestry Commission Scotland, has resigned. HBW expressed	
	thanks on behalf of LAG for all his hard work.	



	<ul> <li>The following action points were followed up:</li> <li>3.1 SBO/010/192C. After the meeting it came to light that there were problems with this project's match funding as it was not going to be within the 60 day timescale. LAG has now received confirmation from HLF that their funding decision has been brought forward to fit with the required LEADER timescale and an Offer of Grant letter has been signed and received.</li> <li>3.2 SBO/011/192C. Additional electricity savings information was supplied by the organisation and LAG approved by e-mail.</li> <li>3.3 SBO/012/192C. Majority of project about fundraising for the organisation which is not supported by LEADER. Currently on hold.</li> <li>Promotion of LEADER at SG Readiness event which SL will attend.</li> <li>4.0 Scoring system &amp; strategic fit criteria. Covered in item 4</li> <li>Business sub-group to include AS. (Proposed HW; seconded GH).</li> <li>6.0 Project outlines. Number these so that timescales are clearer. Useful for LAG to receive reminder and update on their responses.</li> </ul>	
		SL
3.0	Re-election of Chair, Vice-chair and Business Sub-group Chair. Thanking them for their work, the group unanimously re-elected: Chair: JR Vice-chair: GW Business Sub-group Chair: HW	
4.0	<ul> <li>Ratification of Scoring system adaptation as agreed by the LAG.</li> <li>4-7 There is fit with 1 or 2 LDS aims and support for 2 or more development themes. LAG happy with this ratification and had used it to score this round of projects.</li> </ul>	
5.0	<b>Project Applications Round</b> It was noted that 9 members were present (quorate), 0 members represented the public sector (<50%). HW left meeting after item 5.3 and rejoined for item 6.0. BMcG joined the meeting for item 6.0.	
5.1	[Project details and LAG discussions are not published in these minutes.] (SBO/013/192C) Total Eligible Project costs: £102,881 LEADER grant requested: £51,440.50 (50%) Average Score: 64.62% Decision: APPROVE	
	<b>Decision</b> : The project was approved by unanimous vote.	



5.2	[Project details and LAG discussions are not published in these minutes.]	
5.2		
	(SBO/014/192C)	
	Total Eligible Project costs: £ £79,655.00	
	LEADER grant requested: £ £44,500.00 (55.87%)	
	Average Score: 50.69%	
	Decision: REJECT	
	Decision: Unanimous reject.	
5.3	[Project details and LAG discussions are not published in these minutes.]	
	(SBO/015/192C)	
	Total Eligible Project costs: £140,936	
	LEADER grant requested: £ 77,515 (55%)	
	Average Score: 61.78%	
	Decision: APPROVE	
	<b>Decision:</b> This project was approved by majority vote.	
5.4	[Project details and LAG discussions are not published in these minutes.]	
	SBO/016/192C	
	Total Eligible Project costs: £284,242	
	LEADER grant requested: £149,994.50 (52.77%)	
	Average Score: 58.33%	
	Decision: APPROVE	
	<b>Decision:</b> This project was approved by majority vote.	
5.5	[Project details and LAG discussions are not published in these minutes.]	
	(SBO/017/192C)	
	Total Eligible Project costs: £20,000	
	LEADER grant requested: £12,000 (60%)	
	Average Score: 54.42%	
	Decision: APPROVE	
	Decision: This project was approved by majority vote.	
5.6	[Project details and LAG discussions are not published in these minutes.]	
	(SBO/019/192C)	
	Total Eligible Project costs: £139,427	
	LEADER grant requested: £83,656.20 (60%)	



	Average Score: 57.48%	
	Decision: APPROVE	
	Decision: This project was approved by majority vote.	
5.7	[Project details and LAG discussions are not published in these minutes.]	
	(SBO/021/192C)	
	Total Eligible Project costs: £12,400	
	LEADER grant requested: £7440 (60%)	
	Average Score: 59.30%	
	Decision: APPROVE	
<u> </u>	Decision: This project was approved by majority vote.	
6.0	<b>LEADER Programme Progress Report to LAG</b> FMcD provided the report (attached).	
	2.2 Community projects are having difficulties with cash flow and match funding but this is easier for farms and rural businesses.	
	4.1 Three projects are now 'live' on LARCs.	
	Precede documents with a project number to distinguish them i.e. not just	
	'LEADER Application'. Claims course on LARCs next step.	
	Project name on scoring documents.	
	Scottish Government On The Spot inspection very satisfactory.	
	8. Finance budget – Review this for next LAG meeting.	
7.0	Scottish Borders LEADER Annual Report	
	HBW presented annual report and thanked for work.	
	Financial report needs to be reviewed to give interpretation of spend. Report to be published on LEADER, Scottish Rural Network and SBC website.	
	Report highlights training young people, engagement, sharing learning; the Borders have a good reputation for partnership working so it is important that funders see it. Include lessons learnt – cash flow/match funding restricts some community groups.	
8.0	AOB	
	HBW is retiring 31 <sup>st</sup> March 2017 – a great loss to SBC, LEADER (and Fisheries) and Rural Development.	
9.0	Dates and times of future meetings	
	(28 <sup>th</sup> February, 2017 application deadline - 12 projects in the pipeline, including Enterprise Facilitator project(s)).	



	LAG meeting: 18 <sup>th</sup> April, 2017, Tweed Horizons, 10am – 3pm.	
10.0	Business Sub-Group	
	It was noted that 6 members were present (quorate) and 1 member	
	represented the public sector (<50%).	
	LAG members confirmed that there was no conflict of interest in relation to	
	any of the applications presented to the LAG at this meeting.	
	Applications discussed 10.2; 10.3; 10.4; 10.1.	
10.2	[Project details and LAG discussions are not published in these minutes.]	
	(500 (000 (1000)	
	(SBO/023/192B)	
	Total Eligible Project costs: £87,898	
	LEADER grant requested: £43,949 (50%)	
	Average Score: 69.36% Decision: APPROVE	
	<b>Decision:</b> This project was approved by majority vote.	
10.3	[Project details and LAG discussions are not published in these minutes.]	
	(SBO/024/192F)	
	Total Eligible Project costs: £74,486.21	
	LEADER grant requested: £37,243.10 (49.99%)	
	Average Score: 62.69%	
	Decision: APPROVE	
	<b>Decision:</b> This project was approved by majority vote.	
10.4	[Duriest details and LAC discussions are not published in these minutes ]	
10.4	[Project details and LAG discussions are not published in these minutes.]	
	(SBO/025/192B)	
	Total Eligible Project costs: £82,800	
	LEADER grant requested: £41,400 (50.00%)	
	Average Score: 56.26%	
	Decision: REJECT	
	Decision: This project was rejected.	
10.1	[Project details and LAG discussions are not published in these minutes.]	
	(SBO/022/192F)	
	Total Eligible Project costs: £378,615.48	
	LEADER grant requested: £50,000 (13.21%)	



Average Score: 58.21% Decision: REJECT

**Decision:** The project was rejected.