

# Response ID ANON-7TG7-FAZE-F

Submitted to LDP2 - Main Issues Report  
Submitted on 2019-01-08 20:22:57

## Data protection

### About you

Are you responding as an: individual, organisation, or an agent acting on behalf of a client?

████████

#### Individual

What is your name?

Individual name:

████████████████

What is your address?

Address line 1:

████████

Address line 2 :

████████

Address line 3:

Town/City:

████████

Post code:

████████

What is your contact number?

Individual Phone No:

What is your email address?

Individual email:

██

## Vision aims and spatial strategy

### Question 1

Q1 Agree aims LDP2:

### Growing our economy

#### Question 2

Q2:

Q2 upload:

No file was uploaded

#### Question 3

Settlement business allocated:

Upload Q3:

No file was uploaded

#### Question 4

## **Business Use Towns:**

### **Upload Q4:**

No file was uploaded

### **Question 5**

#### **Land delivery effectively:**

### **Question 6**

#### **Agree?:**

I am unsure why the two Eshiels sites are so large. I understand there would be some business/industrial units but the majority seems to be housing. The number of houses suggested for these sites is far far too high. Other rural sites within the plan have much lower numbers proposed - a development of around 20 houses within Eshiels would be more appropriate but over 10 times this amount would be utterly appalling. Eshiels currently has no shop, pub etc - housing development should surely be focussed on places that can offer residents some local services. Peebles services are overloaded as it is, adding this many houses would be impossible for schools/doctors to accommodate. Having good schools is a big part of why people want to move to the Peebles area but our schools are bursting at the seams and we have recently been told the High School is last on the list for upgrading. With so much housing proposed for Peebles area, SBC risk pushing people to leave rather than attracting them.

A big concern is the safety of the A72, which is already very busy and not policed well. Many people drive faster than 50mph, overtake dangerously and cut the bend at Eshiels Toll. There are many cyclists on this road and for pedestrians it is very difficult to cross safely (which children must do to get the school bus) - increased traffic would of course make this much worse.

Finally the beauty of these sites, close to the Tweed, at the base Glentress forest in the renowned Tweed Valley (which is vital to the local tourism sector and could be part of a future Borders National Park) would be hugely impacted.

There must be other areas with better transport links, newer schools (or those with spare capacity) or where an aging population would prefer to live that would be better for such huge housing increases.

### **Upload Q6:**

No file was uploaded

## **Planning for housing**

### **Question 7**

#### **Housing agree?:**

I do not agree with so many houses being proposed for Tweeddale. For the same reasons outlined in the previous section - schools, doctors, over development of countryside, increased traffic. Galashiels, Stow and Melrose must be a better priority with the railway.

### **Upload Q7:**

No file was uploaded

### **Question 8**

#### **Housing countryside:**

Our small communities must be valued and protected - please be very wary of spoiling what makes the Scottish Borders special. Developers are unlikely to see anything but profit when they look at fields, villages and pretty country towns. Putting houses in places that have primary schools with low numbers is good but there needs to be more/better high school places available down the line. Primary schools in Peebles town, as well as the High School, can not be put under any further pressure.

### **Upload Q8:**

No file was uploaded

### **Question 9**

#### **Agree removed housing :**

## **Supporting our town centres**

### **Question 10**

#### **Core Activity Areas:**

### **Question 11**

#### **Berwickshire supermarket:**

### **Upload Q11:**

No file was uploaded

### **Question 12**

Develop contrib town:

## **Delivering sustainability and climate change agenda**

### **Question 13**

Support alternative option:

### **Question 14**

National park:

Yes, Tweeddale.

Upload Q14:

No file was uploaded

## **Regeneration**

### **Question 15**

Agree redevelopment:

Upload Q15:

No file was uploaded

## **Settlement Map**

### **Question 16**

Oxnam settlement:

### **Question 17**

Core frontage Newcastleton:

## **Planning policy issues**

### **Question 18**

Agree amendments appendix3:

## **Any other comments**

### **Question 19**

Other main issues:

## **Landowner details**

Have you submitted any site suggestions in this consultation?

No

If yes, please confirm the site and provide the landowner details (if known) for each site you have suggested.: