

Response ID ANON-7TG7-FAYV-Y

Submitted to **LDP2 - Main Issues Report**
Submitted on **2019-01-20 17:30:34**

Data protection

About you

Are you responding as an: individual, organisation, or an agent acting on behalf of a client?

██████████

Organisation

If you are responding as a representative of a group or organisation, please provide details below:

Organisation:

████████████████████

Name:

████████████████

Job title:

██████████

Address line 1:

████████████████

Address line 2:

████████████████

Address line 3:

Town/city:

██████

Postcode:

██████

Contact number:

██████████

Email address:

████████████████████

Vision aims and spatial strategy

Question 1

Q1 Agree aims LDP2:

Yes , however, with regards to Growing the Economy - promoting economic development opportunities along the railway corridor subject to -

- (a) this not being to the detriment of other parts of the SBC area
- (b) consideration is given to development of the Railway from St Boswells to Berwick upon Tweed
- (c) request that the former route is safeguarded for future development

Growing our economy

Question 2

Q2:

We would prefer alternative option 1

Q2 upload:

No file was uploaded

Question 3

Settlement business allocated:

Not applicable

Upload Q3:

No file was uploaded

Question 4

Business Use Towns:

Yes with regards to Kelso - adjacent to the current Pinnaclehill Industrial Estate.

Upload Q4:

No file was uploaded

Question 5

Land delivery effectively:

Yes make the process as simple and straightforward as possible.

Question 6

Agree?:

Not applicable.

Upload Q6:

No file was uploaded

Planning for housing

Question 7

Housing agree?:

No change to existing plans.

Upload Q7:

No file was uploaded

Question 8

Housing countryside:

Not applicable

Upload Q8:

No file was uploaded

Question 9

Agree removed housing :

Not applicable

Supporting our town centres

Question 10

Core Activity Areas:

The Core Activity Area within Kelso should be retained - the area needs to be protected.

Question 11

Berwickshire supermarket:

Not applicable

Upload Q11:

No file was uploaded

Question 12

Develp contrib town:

Yes - it should be removed or reduced to encourage development in the town centre.

Delivering sustainability and climate change agenda

Question 13

Support alternative option:

Yes subject to (a) this not being at the expense of economic development and (b) encourage the use of hydro power bearing in mind there are three former water mills within Kelso.

Question 14

National park:

No - with the information currently available to us we are not convinced that Kelso should be included within a National Park. However, Kelso Community Council looks forward to being kept up to date with developments regarding the creation of a Scottish Borders National Park.

Upload Q14:

No file was uploaded

Regeneration

Question 15

Agree redevelopment:

Not applicable

Upload Q15:

No file was uploaded

Settlement Map

Question 16

Oxnam settlement:

Not applicable

Question 17

Core frontage Newcastleton:

Not applicable

Planning policy issues

Question 18

Agree amendments appendix3:

Yes

Any other comments

Question 19

Other main issues:

The provision of additional car parking should be a Planning consideration and areas for future public car parks should be identified within the LDP.

Adequate car parking needs to be included in any proposed housing development.

Additional public car parking convenient to the Core Activity Area is required.

Landowner details

Have you submitted any site suggestions in this consultation?

No

If yes, please confirm the site and provide the landowner details (if known) for each site you have suggested.: