

Response ID ANON-7TG7-FAXA-9

Submitted to LDP2 - Main Issues Report
Submitted on 2019-01-14 15:20:08

Data protection

About you

Are you responding as an: individual, organisation, or an agent acting on behalf of a client?

████████

Individual

What is your name?

Individual name:

████████

What is your address?

Address line 1:

████████

Address line 2 :

Address line 3:

Town/City:

████████

Post code:

████████

What is your contact number?

Individual Phone No:

████████

What is your email address?

Individual email:

████████████████████

Vision aims and spatial strategy

Question 1

Q1 Agree aims LDP2:

Yes

Growing our economy

Question 2

Q2:

Don't Know

Q2 upload:

No file was uploaded

Question 3

Settlement business allocated:

Not at this time

Upload Q3:

No file was uploaded

Question 4

Business Use Towns:

No

Upload Q4:

No file was uploaded

Question 5

Land delivery effectively:

Consult with potential users

Question 6

Agree?:

Don't know

Upload Q6:

No file was uploaded

Planning for housing

Question 7

Housing agree?:

Don't know

Upload Q7:

No file was uploaded

Question 8

Housing countryside:

Upload Q8:

No file was uploaded

Question 9

Agree removed housing :

Supporting our town centres

Question 10

Core Activity Areas:

Question 11

Berwickshire supermarket:

Upload Q11:

No file was uploaded

Question 12

Develop contrib town:

Delivering sustainability and climate change agenda

Question 13

Support alternative option:

Question 14

National park:

I strongly support the designation of a National Park within Scottish Borders. It would make a major contribution to the sustainable socioeconomic development of SB, and would protect the grossly undervalued landscape and cultural heritage assets which we have here. I think it should cover the approximate area of the old

Roxburghshire. It should involve modest setup costs and within a year far more than pay for its running costs through job creation and visitor spend. Note that SB would not incur any of these costs.

Upload Q14:

No file was uploaded

Regeneration

Question 15

Agree redevelopment:

Upload Q15:

No file was uploaded

Settlement Map

Question 16

Oxnam settlement:

Question 17

Core frontage Newcastleton:

No. The people of Newcastleton do not appreciate the importance of the appearance of the frontage to the village's tourism economy, which is probably the only source of growth in jobs and incomes in the village. It also enhances the value of the houses and makes them more saleable.

SB's Planning Dept should take the time to explain to the villagers how they individually and collectively benefit from conservation status of the village and from preserving its attractive appearance. This cannot be done through the Community Council alone. It needs to be done through direct, face to face communication with villagers, and imaginative presentation which can be effectively understood by a remote rural community.

Planning policy issues

Question 18

Agree amendments appendix3:

Any other comments

Question 19

Other main issues:

Excellent work has already been carried out in SB on the application of the Scottish Govt's Land Use Strategy. However the momentum appears to have been lost. If anything is happening could we please hear more about it. If not, could we please see more action on this front.

The Scottish Govt's policy on Forestry should be applied in cross compliance with the Land Use Strategy. Blanket conifer planting is not in accord with the LUS. There is plenty of room in Scotland for forestry to be integrated with other land uses in accordance with the LUS. Instead, perverse incentives are being allowed to increase blanket forestry in the Southern Borders. This destroys local communities, damages the salmon rivers and exacerbates flooding. Where woodland and forestry are integrated with farming, tourism, flood management and other environmental protection in accordance with the LUS, local communities and the wider economy benefit. Blanket forestry mainly benefits absentee landlords, often companies or non-residents.

Landowner details

Have you submitted any site suggestions in this consultation?

No

If yes, please confirm the site and provide the landowner details (if known) for each site you have suggested.: