

Response ID ANON-7TG7-FAJ4-E

Submitted to **LDP2 - Main Issues Report**

Submitted on **2018-12-12 10:59:33**

Data protection

About you

Are you responding as an: individual, organisation, or an agent acting on behalf of a client?

██████████

Individual

What is your name?

Individual name:

██████████

What is your address?

Address line 1:

██████████

Address line 2 :

██████████

Address line 3:

Town/City:

██████████

Post code:

██████████

What is your contact number?

Individual Phone No:

██████████

What is your email address?

Individual email:

████████████████████

Vision aims and spatial strategy

Question 1

Q1 Agree aims LDP2:

Renewable energy is an area that must be extended. Selkirk lost out to myopic planning and inadequate understanding of the need and was denied a huge community benefit from turbines on Common Good land. Selkirk community stood to gain £100 million + over a 25 year period. That opportunity has now passed. A little more realism is needed.

Also the failure to provide a bypass for Selkirk and the future damage to health of youngsters forced to inhale diesel exhaust on the way to school is wholly reprehensible.

In its turn, identification of the bypass route - already preserved for 80+ years - would free up land for housing/commerce and stimulate development in the town.

If the council had had more foresight in 2006 when a wind farm was first proposed, many of today's problems would have been averted.

The aims are fine. they simply do not sufficiently emphasise a route to success.

Growing our economy

Question 2

Q2:

While in an ideal world, scenic areas could be preserved against all-comer, it is neanderthal in outlook to allow that to inhibit necessary development, which can be temporary and reversible, to dictate sterility of action. SBC should lead and not be spectators of the success of others.

Q2 upload:

No file was uploaded

Question 3**Settlement business allocated:**

See above; the bypass is the key in Selkirk.

Upload Q3:

No file was uploaded

Question 4**Business Use Towns:**

No

Upload Q4:

No file was uploaded

Question 5**Land delivery effectively:**

Yes, read the above. Nos. 1 & 3.

Question 6**Agree?:**

Mixed use is sensible.

Upload Q6:

No file was uploaded

Planning for housing**Question 7****Housing agree?:**

Asabove; for Selkirk, the bypass, even without building it, if adequately surveyed would free up land presently sterilised by indecision over the planned route.

Upload Q7:

No file was uploaded

Question 8**Housing countryside:**

In a climate of diminishing future use of private transport, extending housing in the countryside is going to create problems. Better to concentrate housing near to facilities.

Upload Q8:

No file was uploaded

Question 9**Agree removed housing :**

I do not understand why they should be removed.

Supporting our town centres**Question 10****Core Activity Areas:**

Town centres will improve if the burgeoning increase in traffic flow is lessened either by diverting it or encouraging more town centre walking access.

Question 11**Berwickshire supermarket:**

no

Upload Q11:

No file was uploaded

Question 12

Develop contrib town:

no

Delivering sustainability and climate change agenda

Question 13

Support alternative option:

See 1; encouragement and support for individual/corporate measures to ameliorate building heat loss and encourage heat-preserving measures are important.

Question 14

National park:

Only if it does not disadvantage the residents by strangling development.

Upload Q14:

No file was uploaded

Regeneration

Question 15

Agree redevelopment:

Any undeveloped sites within towns should be given a time-limited ultimatum to develop or be compulsorily purchased at below market price by LAs..

Upload Q15:

No file was uploaded

Settlement Map

Question 16

Oxnam settlement:

Not enough info.

Question 17

Core frontage Newcastleton:

No opinion

Planning policy issues

Question 18

Agree amendments appendix3:

Any other comments

Question 19

Other main issues:

Landowner details

Have you submitted any site suggestions in this consultation?

No

If yes, please confirm the site and provide the landowner details (if known) for each site you have suggested.: