**Response ID ANON-7TG7-FABG-S** Submitted to LDP2 - Main Issues Report Submitted on 2019-01-26 15:34:01 **Data protection About you** Are you responding as an: individual, organisation, or an agent acting on behalf of a client? Individual What is your name? Individual name: What is your address? Address line 1: Address line 2 : Address line 3: Town/City: Peebles Post code: EH45 8NA What is your contact number? Individual Phone No: What is your email address? Individual email: Vision aims and spatial strategy Question 1 Q1 Agree aims LDP2: **Growing our economy** Question 2 Q2: Q2 upload: No file was uploaded

## Settlement business allocated:

Yes, surely there should be more settlements close to the new Borders Railway and not around the Peebles area where the means of transport is mainly cars.

## Upload Q3:

**Question 3** 

No file was uploaded

## Question 4 **Business Use Towns:** Upload Q4: No file was uploaded **Question 5** Land delivery effectively: Why not use the former yard in Galashiels! **Question 6** Agree?: 1. No! The Eshiels Area is a rural area of great beauty. The type of development suggested would be totally wrong and out of scale to the existing settlement. 2. The A72 is already a very busy road and as most of the householders are likely to be commuters, the carbon emissions will certainly increase. 3. Sewage disposal from the site is bound to cause problems. The existing houses at Eshiels are all on Septic Tanks. 4. The infrastructure of Peebles is not sufficient to support more houses. This development will create ribbonisation between Peebles and Cardrona which must be a serious contravention of the Town and Country Planning Act (Scotland) 1947 5. Glentress is being developed as a major tourist draw and is increasingly good for the economy of the area. The visitors are very likely to find somewhere else. 6. This development would be a disaster for Peebles and the surrounding area for so many reasons. Upload Q6: No file was uploaded Planning for housing **Question 7** Housing agree?: No! Building figures for Peebles are out of proportion to that of the rest of the Borders . We do not have the infrastructure set up to support this. This must be rectified first! Upload Q7: No file was uploaded **Question 8** Housing countryside: Upload Q8: No file was uploaded **Question 9** Agree removed housing: Supporting our town centres **Question 10 Core Activity Areas: Question 11** Berwickshire supermarket: Upload Q11: No file was uploaded **Question 12** Develp contrib town:

Delivering sustainability and climate change agenda

No. Income is desperately needed to improve infrastructure and developer contributions should be significant.

## Question 13

Support	-14	nativa	antian.
Sunnori	alter	native	ontion:

pollution caused by the serious number of cars on the road.
Question 14
National park: Yes. The Tweed Valley.
Upload Q14: No file was uploaded
Regeneration
Question 15
Agree redevelopment: Yes
Upload Q15: No file was uploaded
Settlement Map
Question 16
Oxnam settlement:
Question 17
Core frontage Newcastleton:
Planning policy issues
Question 18
Agree amendments appendix3:
Any other comments
Question 19
Other main issues:  Where would the Scottish Borders be without Peebles! It seems that the MIR report is far too dependent on development in Peebles and largely ignores the rest of the Borders. This certainly canâ
Landowner details
Have you submitted any site suggestions in this consultation?

No

If yes, please confirm the site and provide the landowner details (if known) for each site you have suggested.: