Response ID ANON-7TG7-FAFS-9

Submitted to LDP2 - Main Issues Report Submitted on 2019-01-31 19:53:06

Data	protection
------	------------

-							
Α	h	$\hat{}$		+	11	^	
_	v	u	ч	L	v	u	u

Are you responding as an: individual, organisation, or an agent acting on behalf of a client? Individual What is your name? Individual name: What is your address? Address line 1: Address line 2 : Address line 3: Town/City:

Post code:

What is your contact number?

Individual Phone No:

What is your email address?

Individual email:

Vision aims and spatial strategy

Question 1

Q1 Agree aims LDP2:

I don't agree with the aims. Building work areas will not improve employment and prosperity. Kings meadows industrial estate is an example. All full of little businesses that employ a few people.

There is not a deficit of housing in the Peebles area. What you are hoping to supply is expensive housing for people out with the Borders to move to.

There is no plans to improve transport. There is one bus and no train. There is no plans to build a bridge or any other road improvements.

It will spoil tourism by taking away the one th8ng tourist c9me for - the beautiful unspoiled countryside.

Growing our economy

Question 2

Could not open plans/maps

Q2 upload:

No file was uploaded

Question 3

Settlement business allocated: No
Upload Q3: No file was uploaded
Question 4
Business Use Towns: I do not know the area
Upload Q4: No file was uploaded
Question 5
Land delivery effectively: I do not have the expertise
Question 6
Agree?: I can only speak of the Peebles/eshiels area . I do not agree. There is insufficient infrastructure - roads, water. There is not main sewage from the sewage works just before Eshiels until Innerleithen. Are you suggesting septic tanks for every dwelling and proposed business? There is a risk of flooding any properties below the sites suggested. There has and is continous problems In heavy rain on the land and on the road. You haven't solved that yet, how will you? It will not improve tourism. Walkers bikers holiday makers come because the area is unspoiled. This will spoil it. There is already overcrowding in primary schools and the high school cannot take further pupils. Any more patients in the medical centre will severely affect the present people in Peebles and those you seek to bring in. The housing you want will be for the more affluent people from outwith the Borders. A few "affordable" houses thrown in will not solve housing problems for people who live here. Every house built will have a minimum of two cars, every business will have at least 2, as there is no other way to access amenities without one. That's is a considerable increase in traffic. The road infrastructure is completely insufficient. There is still no plans to build the bridge that is sorely needed across the tweed to ease the traffic problems at the moment. The town could not cope with all the extra traffic.
If you want to develop an area, sort out your infrastructure first roads, public transport, schools, medical care, water and sewage
Upload Q6: No file was uploaded
Planning for housing
Question 7
Housing agree?: I can only answer for the Peebles/eshiels area and I do not agree. Please see answer 6.
Upload Q7: No file was uploaded
Question 8
Housing countryside: No I do not.
Upload Q8: No file was uploaded
Question 9
Agree removed housing : Yes
Supporting our town centres
Question 10
Core Activity Areas:

Question 11
Berwickshire supermarket: I d9not know the area
Upload Q11: No file was uploaded
Question 12
Develp contrib town:
Delivering sustainability and climate change agenda
Question 13
Support alternative option:
Question 14
National park: Within reason
Upload Q14: No file was uploaded
Regeneration
Question 15
Agree redevelopment:
Upload Q15: No file was uploaded
Settlement Map
Question 16
Oxnam settlement:
Question 17
Core frontage Newcastleton:
Planning policy issues
Question 18
Agree amendments appendix3:
Any other comments
Question 19
Other main issues:
Landowner details
Have you submitted any site suggestions in this consultation?
No
If yes, please confirm the site and provide the landowner details (if known) for each site you have suggested.: