

Response ID ANON-7TG7-FAFG-W

Submitted to **LDP2 - Main Issues Report**

Submitted on **2019-01-31 19:08:51**

Data protection

About you

Are you responding as an: individual, organisation, or an agent acting on behalf of a client?

██████████

Individual

What is your name?

Individual name:

█

What is your address?

Address line 1:

█

Address line 2 :

Address line 3:

Town/City:

██████████

Post code:

██████████

What is your contact number?

Individual Phone No:

What is your email address?

Individual email:

████████████████████

Vision aims and spatial strategy

Question 1

Q1 Agree aims LDP2:

I do not agree that more houses should be built. West Linton is turning into a small town, not the conservation village so beloved of the developers trying to sell the houses. It is being hollowed out and turned into a commuter suburb where people sleep, but don't engage. The removal of the subsidy for the bus beggars belief, when the council seems intent on shoehorning in as many houses as possible. The only thing that is good is the lack of industrial units in West Linton which stops the final death knell of the village.

Growing our economy

Question 2

Q2:

No comment

Q2 upload:

No file was uploaded

Question 3

Settlement business allocated:

No

Upload Q3:

No file was uploaded

Question 4**Business Use Towns:**

No

Upload Q4:

No file was uploaded

Question 5**Land delivery effectively:**

No

Question 6**Agree?:**

Not sure that West Linton needs this type of development - it's a village, and despite there being some vocal lobbying for business units, the need is not there.

Upload Q6:

No file was uploaded

Planning for housing**Question 7****Housing agree?:**

SBC seems to be fixated on shoehorning houses into any space in the face of local opposition. There appears to be no strategy other than extracting the maximum amount of cash in council tax from the inhabitants.

Upload Q7:

No file was uploaded

Question 8**Housing countryside:**

West Linton should get no more houses. It is full and turning into a small town rather than a village. It's time to stop and listen to the inhabitants.

Upload Q8:

No file was uploaded

Question 9

Agree removed housing :

Supporting our town centres**Question 10****Core Activity Areas:**

Peebles town centre is a disgrace - a mish mash of charity shops and cafes. Where are the small businesses? It's about time that there was some strategic thought given to filling the premises and less thought given to extracting every last penny in rent and rates from the occupiers - as you can see from Peebles this doesn't work.

Question 11**Berwickshire supermarket:****Upload Q11:**

No file was uploaded

Question 12**Develp contrib town:**

No

Delivering sustainability and climate change agenda

Question 13**Support alternative option:**

No more wind farms please. They are an eyesore.

Question 14**National park:**

No - it is another level of bureaucracy and cost for limited, if any, benefit.

Upload Q14:

No file was uploaded

Regeneration**Question 15****Agree redevelopment:****Upload Q15:**

No file was uploaded

Settlement Map**Question 16****Oxnam settlement:****Question 17****Core frontage Newcastleton:****Planning policy issues****Question 18****Agree amendments appendix3:****Any other comments****Question 19****Other main issues:****Landowner details****Have you submitted any site suggestions in this consultation?**

No

If yes, please confirm the site and provide the landowner details (if known) for each site you have suggested.: