

Response ID ANON-7TG7-FAFF-V

Submitted to **LDP2 - Main Issues Report**
Submitted on **2019-01-31 23:49:26**

Data protection

About you

Are you responding as an: individual, organisation, or an agent acting on behalf of a client?

██████████

Individual

What is your name?

Individual name:

██████████

What is your address?

Address line 1:

██████████

Address line 2 :

██████████

Address line 3:

Town/City:

██████████

Post code:

██████████

What is your contact number?

Individual Phone No:

██████████

What is your email address?

Individual email:

████████████████████

Vision aims and spatial strategy

Question 1

Q1 Agree aims LDP2:

Yes but infrastructure needs to match any new development and I don't think this is taken into account

Growing our economy

Question 2

Q2:

Q2 upload:

No file was uploaded

Question 3

Settlement business allocated:

The new railway has been built so now develop along the side of it. New houses and industry should follow this line as this ensures good transport links. There is only the bus from Peebles or car so you are encouraging more traffic on an already busy route.

Upload Q3:

No file was uploaded

Question 4**Business Use Towns:****Upload Q4:**

No file was uploaded

Question 5**Land delivery effectively:**

Industrial units should be on the edge of towns away from the houses.

Question 6**Agree?:**

no I do not agree with the proposals at Eshiels.

The number of houses for this area is high compared to other areas and the proportion of the total number for the borders.

Two massive housing estates are not in keeping with the current area and would totally swamp the current settlement.

The A72 is already incredibly busy and does not have suitable pavements or crossings for children already. For primary school children there is already a busy road to cross where cars use excessive speed. For secondary pupils there is no bus and no wide enough pavement. Again this would also encourage a large amount of school car traffic.

You will be adding to this carbon footprint as it will be family housing with more commuters where car is the only available transport.

You are effectively going to join up Peebles, Eshiels and Cardrona

What happened to green belts and agricultural land.

The areas at the bottom of the fields act as flood plains at the moment with housing here the road and houses opposite will be subject to flooding. The road currently floods over the road when heavy rainfall.

Glentress is a major tourist attraction but this will encourage tourists elsewhere and definitely spoil the natural beauty and wildlife in this area.

Upload Q6:

No file was uploaded

Planning for housing**Question 7****Housing agree?:**

No I do not agree. The numbers proposed houses for Peebles are disproportionate to the rest of the borders.

Current infrastructure is not there to support the increased population eg

- Sewage. We have no mains sewage in any of the houses.

-Medical centre. You can only get an appointment if you say it's an emergency

-Dentist. The main one is over the bridge therefore causing more town centre traffic and jams.

-limited public transport, no secondary school transport

-Peebles needs a new high school. There are four towns in front of Peebles so put the new houses in these areas and build to the new capacity.

Peebles is full. By adding more you are increasing the size of the town which itself attracts many tourists each year. But not if they can't get parked!!!

Upload Q7:

No file was uploaded

Question 8**Housing countryside:**

why is it if you want to develop privately in the countryside it is difficult but councils Can!

Upload Q8:

No file was uploaded

Question 9**Agree removed housing :**

NO. The more areas elsewhere the better.

Supporting our town centres**Question 10****Core Activity Areas:**

Question 11

Berwickshire supermarket:

Upload Q11:

No file was uploaded

Question 12

Develop contrib town:

Delivering sustainability and climate change agenda

Question 13

Support alternative option:

Will result in significantly more car /commuter traffic. More electric car points. More cycle paths through the town. And surrounding area.

Question 14

National park:

Upload Q14:

No file was uploaded

Regeneration

Question 15

Agree redevelopment:

Yes redevelopment of these sites is essential for the future of towns mentioned.

Upload Q15:

No file was uploaded

Settlement Map

Question 16

Oxnam settlement:

Question 17

Core frontage Newcastleton:

Planning policy issues

Question 18

Agree amendments appendix3:

Any other comments

Question 19

Other main issues:

Far to much development for Peebles

Makes no comment on the infrastructure essential for town to function

What about the rest of the borders

Landowner details

Have you submitted any site suggestions in this consultation?

No

If yes, please confirm the site and provide the landowner details (if known) for each site you have suggested.: