# Response ID ANON-7TG7-FA17-R

Submitted to LDP2 - Main Issues Report Submitted on 2018-12-27 18:39:25

# **Data protection**

About you

Are you responding as an: individual, organisation, or an agent acting on behalf of a client?

Individual

What is your name?

Individual name:

What is your address?

Address line 1:

Address line 2 :

Address line 3:

Town/City:

Post code:

What is your contact number?

Individual Phone No:

What is your email address?

Individual email:

# Vision aims and spatial strategy

**Question 1** 

## Q1 Agree aims LDP2:

This development has clearly not been thought through. By erecting 240 houses you will be increasing the population of Peebles by about 7 -10 % depending how many families move in. Does the town have the capacity to take this extra capacity. In terms of Schools, (the high school is nearly full). Sewerage and general services and extra traffic as most of the people who live there will be working in Peebles or Edinburgh. Will the Glentress bikers take kindly to a big housing estate being built right next to them, has a survey been done there, it is the biggest tourist attraction and therefore a big money spinner for the town. There are other sites closer to Peebles that can be used before Eshiels is considered surely. What happened to the Bridge ? I do not agree with this development.

# Growing our economy

## **Question 2**

## Q2:

There seems to be a big master plan for the borders in general. You would have thought that after all the investment in the railway line that the bits around the railway will be better utilised. Transport links from Peebles are not great anyhow. As there is a deficit of decent job opportunities around Peebles, I would assume most people would commute to Edinburgh

# Q2 upload:

No file was uploaded

## **Question 3**

## Settlement business allocated:

Utilise the investment in the railway line, and where there is more infrastructure capacity. If you are desperate to build in Peebles then infrastructure needs to be there to support the increase.

### Upload Q3:

No file was uploaded

## **Question 4**

## Business Use Towns:

you cannot just build business units without having a vision of what you want to put there. If you have lots of disparate businesses, you won't attract the ancillary services. As it is logistically difficult to manufacture there you would be better off trying to attract either small manufacturing, electronics and AI for example or some sort of services businesses

## Upload Q4:

No file was uploaded

# **Question 5**

Land delivery effectively: see q4

## **Question 6**

## Agree?:

don't disagree massively. Job situation is dire on the borders.

# Upload Q6:

No file was uploaded

# **Planning for housing**

# **Question 7**

## Housing agree?:

What happened to the bridge proposal in Peebles, this would open up opportunities with out affecting the town centre too much.

Upload Q7: No file was uploaded

## **Question 8**

Housing countryside: There must not be the minimum amount of social housing . There must be a reasonable amount.

Upload Q8: No file was uploaded

# **Question 9**

Agree removed housing :

# Supporting our town centres

**Question 10** 

**Core Activity Areas:** 

# **Question 11**

# Berwickshire supermarket:

Upload Q11: No file was uploaded

# Question 12

Develp contrib town:

# Delivering sustainability and climate change agenda

## **Question 13**

### Support alternative option:

every car park should have an electric charging point. What have you looked into . Maybe better to be more visionary and employ perhaps university projects to look into sources of heat such as heat pumps etc.

## **Question 14**

#### National park:

this is a conflict, you cannot have a national park with loads of new housing on it. What is the councils vision.

Upload Q14:

No file was uploaded

# Regeneration

# **Question 15**

## Agree redevelopment:

Any sites that can be redeveloped should be used befory green field sites.

Upload Q15: No file was uploaded

## Settlement Map

## **Question 16**

Oxnam settlement:

**Question 17** 

Core frontage Newcastleton:

# **Planning policy issues**

**Question 18** 

Agree amendments appendix3:

# Any other comments

# **Question 19**

## Other main issues:

why can there not be consultation for the part of the borders where people reside. Sure people from Yetholm don't really care what happens in Peebles ?

# Landowner details

# Have you submitted any site suggestions in this consultation?

# No

If yes, please confirm the site and provide the landowner details (if known) for each site you have suggested .: