

## Response ID ANON-7TG7-FA47-U

Submitted to **LDP2 - Main Issues Report**  
Submitted on **2019-01-31 11:20:19**

### Data protection

#### About you

Are you responding as an: individual, organisation, or an agent acting on behalf of a client?

[REDACTED]

#### Organisation

If you are responding as a representative of a group or organisation, please provide details below:

Organisation:

[REDACTED]

Name:

[REDACTED]

Job title:

[REDACTED]

Address line 1:

[REDACTED]

Address line 2:

[REDACTED]

Address line 3:

Town/city:

[REDACTED]

Postcode:

[REDACTED]

Contact number:

Email address:

[REDACTED]

### Vision aims and spatial strategy

#### Question 1

**Q1 Agree aims LDP2:**

Yes. No we do not have any alternative or additional aims.

### Growing our economy

#### Question 2

**Q2:**

With reference to item 4.8 and 4.15 in the MIR- In some instances where employment is required in a rural environment such as Greenlaw and to mitigate unnecessary commuting to a 'Strategic High Amenity' areas the preference would be to retain the current policy position or the Alternative Option 1 which seems to allow a more diverse group of business type classes to sit side by side in an industrial site, recognizing that any site within Greenlaw would not extend to a large business park.

**Q2 upload:**

No file was uploaded

#### Question 3

**Settlement business allocated:**

As mentioned previously regarding prospective developers of the Greenlaw Town Hall and the potential for them wanting to locate a small ceramics workshop

and retail outlet in the village then industrial land would be required for this - possibly the current proposals for industrial land will be enough, but consideration could be given to utilizing other areas of land with different planning designations. Note here there are several areas of land with potential to become housing although the completion rate of these areas of land indicates that an alternative use may encourage more constructive growth in the village.

**Upload Q3:**

No file was uploaded

**Question 4**

**Business Use Towns:**

A more reasoned response may be sought from those in the vicinity - not Greenlaw related.

**Upload Q4:**

No file was uploaded

**Question 5**

**Land delivery effectively:**

No.

**Question 6**

**Agree?:**

Refer to response to Question 3.

**Upload Q6:**

No file was uploaded

**Planning for housing**

**Question 7**

**Housing agree?:**

Yes, agree with preferred and alternative options for Greenlaw.

**Upload Q7:**

No file was uploaded

**Question 8**

**Housing countryside:**

The alternative option is preferred and may encourage some exciting architecture to happen in the area, but it would be important to impose strict controls to prevent incongruous developments which would demean the surroundings. Secluded locations for such developments would be non intrusive and possibly more desirable to someone wishing to build a new home. Consideration would need to be given that these would be low energy/low waste homes in accordance with sustainability and climate change policies.

**Upload Q8:**

No file was uploaded

**Question 9**

**Agree removed housing :**

A more reasoned response may be sought from those in the vicinity - not Greenlaw related.

**Supporting our town centres**

**Question 10**

**Core Activity Areas:**

Agree with preferred option, town centres do not attract footfall by shoppers alone and supplementary uses such as in Use Classes 2, 10 and 11 may attract more visitors to town centres.

**Question 11**

**Berwickshire supermarket:**

A supermarket in Duns would be utilized by people of Greenlaw who may otherwise shop in Kelso or further afield.

**Upload Q11:**

No file was uploaded

## Question 12

### Develop contrib town:

Yes, if there is a strong indication Developer Contributions is preventing them from taking up in a town centre. Perhaps a delayed developer contribution could be considered based on the success of the developer's enterprise after a set period of time.

## Delivering sustainability and climate change agenda

## Question 13

### Support alternative option:

Yes.

## Question 14

### National park:

Yes. Agree with suggestion to also include land adjoining St Mary's Loch along A708.

### Upload Q14:

No file was uploaded

## Regeneration

## Question 15

### Agree redevelopment:

### Upload Q15:

No file was uploaded

## Settlement Map

## Question 16

### Oxnam settlement:

A more reasoned response may be sought from those in the vicinity - not Greenlaw related.

## Question 17

### Core frontage Newcastleton:

A more reasoned response may be sought from those in the vicinity - not Greenlaw related.

## Planning policy issues

## Question 18

### Agree amendments appendix3:

Generally agree. There is mention of detrimental impact of Air quality in policy EP16 using low carbon fuels/renewables, which is difficult to avoid in rural setting - perhaps add also the air quality control surrounding animal and poultry operations i.e slurry lagoons and spreading.

## Any other comments

## Question 19

### Other main issues:

No.

## Landowner details

### Have you submitted any site suggestions in this consultation?

No

If yes, please confirm the site and provide the landowner details (if known) for each site you have suggested.: