Response ID ANON-7TG7-FA49-W

Submitted to LDP2 - Main Issues Report Submitted on 2019-01-31 13:59:55

Data protection

About you

Are you responding as an: individual, organisation, or an agent acting on behalf of a client?

Individual

What is your name?

Individual name:

What is your address?

Address line 1:

Address line 2 :

Address line 3:

Town/City:

Post code:

What is your contact number?

Individual Phone No:

What is your email address?

Individual email:

Vision aims and spatial strategy

Question 1

Q1 Agree aims LDP2: Yes.

Growing our economy

Question 2

Q2:

ALTERNATIVE OPTION 1

Remove all sites from categorisation and have a 'one size fits all' policy which seeks to encourage UseClasses 4, 5 and 6 but accepts that uses which are ancillary to, or complement, the overall business/industrial site could be acceptable.

However, care would have to be taken to ensure that one use did not adversely affect an existing use

Q2 upload: No file was uploaded

Question 3

Settlement business allocated:

West Linton, now that the proposed industrial land has been removed as an option and the existing one does not appear to be available for employment purposes.

Upload Q3:

No file was uploaded

Question 4

Business Use Towns:

No comment

Upload Q4:

No file was uploaded

Question 5

Land delivery effectively:

Council must monitor requests for existing land effectively to ensure these are not being protected for other uses.

Question 6

Agree?:

West Linton: Site BWEST003 is no longer available and the existing site is not identified in this Plan. Additional sites must be identified and the current one enforced. There is a long waiting list of businesses waiting for premises but nothing is available.

Upload Q6:

No file was uploaded

Planning for housing

Question 7

Housing agree?:

I am pleased to see there is no additional development identified for West Linton as the village currently has an ongoing development and is still adjusting to the addition of over 100 houses. I am also pleased to read the Comments in associated documentation that reinforces comments in the previous Plan that no further development will be permitted until there is an alternative route to the A701 without having to negotiate Main Street.

Upload Q7:

No file was uploaded

Question 8

Housing countryside:

Yes, although some of the criteria could be relaxed, such as excluding properties separated by a road. Flexibility should be permitted for a dispersed group if potential neighbouring properties do not have any objections.

Upload Q8:

No file was uploaded

Question 9

Agree removed housing : No comment

Supporting our town centres

Question 10

Core Activity Areas: No comment

Question 11

Berwickshire supermarket: No comment

Upload Q11: No file was uploaded

Question 12

Develp contrib town: No comment

Delivering sustainability and climate change agenda

Question 13

Support alternative option:

"promotion of the need to reduce travel and encourage more low carbon transport choices...and reduce the need to travel by car."

Council must reconsider its transport policy and adapt this to changing requirements of residents and users, particularly in rural areas. Similarly reducing Council services which puts the requirement for individual households to recycle is not sustainable as this results in an increase in private car usage to access Local [Recycling Centres. More local alternatives should be investigated.

The work of Changeworks in Peebles is to be commended, however I wonder if a more proactive approach may increase the number of properties being upgraded. For example, is there is a register of properties where insulation could reduce fuel poverty and has this has been accessed to target uptake for this scheme?

Question 14

National park: No comment

Upload Q14: No file was uploaded

Regeneration

Question 15

Agree redevelopment: No comment

Upload Q15: No file was uploaded

Settlement Map

Question 16

Oxnam settlement: No comment

Question 17

Core frontage Newcastleton: No comment

Planning policy issues

Question 18

Agree amendments appendix3: No comment

Any other comments

Question 19

Other main issues:

PMD4: Development Outwith Development Boundaries: considerations must be given to existing availability for development within the Development Boundary and if none exist then sympathetic development could be permitted. Any such development should have zero to minimal negative impact on neighbours; low noise, screening, economic importance to the local community and support from the local community should all be considered when deciding if a development is permitted.

ED1: Protection of Business and Industrial Land: Not only should this land be protected, encouragement should be given to develop land to support the local economy. Council is encouraged to create a Business and Industrial Land register to monitor requests to purchase or develop this to ensure it is not being

retained for other uses.

ED8: Caravan and Camping Sites: Agree with the suggestion of changing the title of the Policy to Holiday Accommodation in the Countryside". Consideration should be given to include references to "Glamping Pods" in the Policy. Size of possible developments compared to the "host" community should be considered when assessing suitability for a development.

Landowner details

Have you submitted any site suggestions in this consultation?

No

If yes, please confirm the site and provide the landowner details (if known) for each site you have suggested.: