Response ID ANON-7TG7-FA4B-6

Submitted to LDP2 - Main Issues Report Submitted on 2019-01-31 10:44:39

Data protection

About you

Are you responding as an: individual, organisation, or an agent acting on behalf of a client?

Individual

What is your name?

Individual name:

What is your address?

Address line 1:

Address line 2 :

Address line 3:

Town/City:

Post code:

What is your contact number?

Individual Phone No:

What is your email address?

Individual email:

Vision aims and spatial strategy

Question 1

Q1 Agree aims LDP2: In principle I agree with most of the outlines

Growing our economy

Question 2

Q2: Yes

Q2 upload: No file was uploaded

Question 3

Settlement business allocated: No

Upload Q3:

No file was uploaded

Question 4

Business Use Towns: No

Upload Q4: No file was uploaded

Question 5

Land delivery effectively:

Prebuild the units to make it easier for small business to move into

Question 6

Agree?: land for business and housing should be separate

Upload Q6: No file was uploaded

Planning for housing

Question 7

Housing agree?:

I do not agree with the alternative option for Eckford Site reference AECKFOO2 This is out of character with the village trying to cram 10 units on a small parcel of ground commonly called backfilling. The egress onto the main road is too narrow and would mean felling a number of trees for what is known as the edge of the village, Utilising ground that is for Agriculture/Food production

The existing sewage system in Eckford is unfit for purpose, any further development will cause pollution to a River Kale tributary. Developments of this type belong to the towns or larger villages, where the infrastructure can handle it The existing council road is too narrow in parts to take increased traffic

Upload Q7:

No file was uploaded

Question 8

Housing countryside:

This should also be viewed very carefully, In the scottish borders we have a number of large villages which have schools/halls /churches and an infrastructure which can cope with increasing households by 10 to 20%.

We also have hamlets where the space is limited to infills without upsetting the equilibrium for country living and support services

Upload Q8:

No file was uploaded

Question 9

Agree removed housing :

We should be encouraging more development in Jedburgh and Kelso to support the schools and small business'

Supporting our town centres

Question 10

Core Activity Areas:

Question 11

Berwickshire supermarket: no comment

Upload Q11: No file was uploaded

Question 12

Develp contrib town:

Yes we should be encouraging development and not overtly taxing it i.e. rail contributions

Delivering sustainability and climate change agenda

Question 13

Support alternative option: no

Question 14

National park: undecided

Upload Q14: No file was uploaded

Regeneration

Question 15

Agree redevelopment: most of them

Upload Q15: No file was uploaded

Settlement Map

Question 16

Oxnam settlement: Yes

Question 17

Core frontage Newcastleton: no comment

Planning policy issues

Question 18

Agree amendments appendix3: undecided

Any other comments

Question 19

Other main issues:

Landowner details

Have you submitted any site suggestions in this consultation?

No

If yes, please confirm the site and provide the landowner details (if known) for each site you have suggested.: