

Response ID ANON-7TG7-FA2D-6

Submitted to **LDP2 - Main Issues Report**

Submitted on **2019-01-20 11:54:06**

Data protection

About you

Are you responding as an: individual, organisation, or an agent acting on behalf of a client?

Individual

Individual

What is your name?

Individual name:

██████████

What is your address?

Address line 1:

██████████

Address line 2 :

██████████

Address line 3:

Town/City:

██████████

Post code:

██████████

What is your contact number?

Individual Phone No:

██████████

What is your email address?

Individual email:

████████████████████

Vision aims and spatial strategy

Question 1

Q1 Agree aims LDP2:

The LPD2 should build in a specific requirement regarding preserving wildlife habitats, and preventing habitat pockets from become even more isolated.

Growing our economy

Question 2

Q2:

Q2 upload:

No file was uploaded

Question 3

Settlement business allocated:

Upload Q3:

No file was uploaded

Question 4

Business Use Towns:

Upload Q4:

No file was uploaded

Question 5

Land delivery effectively:

Question 6

Agree?:

Upload Q6:

No file was uploaded

Planning for housing

Question 7

Housing agree?:

Upload Q7:

No file was uploaded

Question 8

Housing countryside:

Housing in the countryside remains an issue. A recent application for 3 lodges in Hallrule burn highlights the issue of wildlife corridors. This proposal and others like it, built in wooded, unmanaged glens and river banks, are disruptive to the few remaining wildlife corridors that link pockets of habitat - in this example one of only a few corridors providing natural cover between the Rulewater corridor and the slopes of Ruberslaw, which is supportive of wildlife, will be disrupted. This is exacerbated by the rapid spread along Rulewater of deer farm fences that are impenetrable to wildlife.

This sort of badly sited rural development and the pressure from energy companies to build facilities on unspoilt hill habitats is undermining a key natural resource of the Borders.

The proposed alternative less stringent approach to Housing in the countryside set out in 5.14 would make it more difficult to do this and should not be adopted.

Upload Q8:

No file was uploaded

Question 9

Agree removed housing :

Supporting our town centres

Question 10

Core Activity Areas:

Question 11

Berwickshire supermarket:

Upload Q11:

No file was uploaded

Question 12

Develp contrib town:

Delivering sustainability and climate change agenda

Question 13

Support alternative option:

Question 14

