## Workforce Data
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## Legislative Context

As stated earlier in this mainstreaming report, there are specific duties that Scottish Borders Council is required to comply with. This means that we have a duty to gather and use workforce data across the nine protected characteristics and sub levels as indicated below. We are also required to publish pay gap information and statements on equal pay.
This section of the report provides details obtained from our workforce data.

## Operational Context

The information used within this report with regard to employees of Scottish Borders Council has been taken from the Corporate HR and Payroll System. As employees can hold multiple posts with the Council it has been decided that we use the post that the employee has defined as their main post for the purpose of completing the analysis. This will tend to be the post that they have held for the longest period of time.
The Job Groups that have been used within the report are:

- Teachers (teaching staff, music instructors and psychologists)
- Chief Officers (the most senior managers)
- Single Status (all other staff employed by the Council)

These have been used as they identify the conditions of service that each employee works under. For information we have also included statistics for each of the characteristics we hold at entire workforce level.

The Council has three departments:

- Chief Executives
- Place
- People (including Education)

Since April 2015, the Council's Adult Home and Residential care services have been provided by Scottish Borders Cares LLP ("SB Cares").
Employees working for the Council in these services as at that date were transferred to SB Cares on the same terms and conditions of employment.

SB Cares is wholly owned by Scottish Borders Council.

These figures accordingly include staff employed by SB Cares, who are recorded as Single Status staff.
Analysis of the nine characteristics and sub levels, listed below, has also been carried out.

| - Gender | - Age | - Ethnic Origin | - Disability |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Gender Reassignment | - Sexual Orientation | - Religion and/or belief | - Marital Status | - Carer Status |

## Sub-levels of analysis

- Employment Status
- Location - Department for all staff (except Teachers) and Catchment Area for Teaching Staff
- Job Group - based on the terms and conditions of service the employee works under
- Grade

Throughout this report we have shown the data as a percentage and number of staff for each characteristic where possible. Due to the low level of numbers in the majority of the characteristics, we have only shown the percentage as this may otherwise identify individuals, as the report is further analysed. If there are points to note these have been drawn out and included within the narrative.

The data for 2015 and 2016 has been derived from workforce data gathered from January to December in those years and as outlined in Table 1

## Table 1 - Total number of employees

|  | Teachers | Chief Officers | Single Status | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | 1484 | 23 | 4682 | $\mathbf{6 1 8 9}$ |
| 2016 | 1389 | 26 | 4245 | 5660 |

Single status figures include Modern Apprentices, who are paid the National Minimum Wage appropriate to their age; Business Gateway, who are a small number of employees who transferred to the Council from Scottish Enterprise in 2012.

In 2015 employees transferred to SBCares from Allied Health Care. These carers are now on Single Status Terms and Conditions.

## (1) Gender

There has been a very slight change in the workforce gender balance. The overall Council workforce is predominately female (73\%) as displayed in figure 1.


Figure 1 - Workforce Gender Balance (all staff)

|  | Staff by \% |  | Staff by Number |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| Female | 72.64 | 73.00 | 4496 | 4132 |
| Male | 27.36 | 27.00 | 1693 | 1528 |
| Totals | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 1 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 6 0}$ |

Chief Officers and Single Status
Figure 2 - Workforce Gender balance

|  | Staff by \% |  | Staff by Number |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| Female | 71.20 | 72.00 | 3350 | 3075 |
| Male | 28.80 | 28.00 | 1355 | 1196 |
| Totals | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 7 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 7 1}$ |

## Figure 3 - Workforce Gender Balance by Status

|  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Status | Female | Male | Female | Male |
| Casual/Relief | $76.25 \%$ | $23.75 \%$ | $79.71 \%$ | $20.29 \%$ |
| Full Time | $45.45 \%$ | $54.55 \%$ | $45.75 \%$ | $54.25 \%$ |
| Part time | $89.13 \%$ | $10.87 \%$ | $89.78 \%$ | $10.22 \%$ |

Figure 4 - Workforce Gender Balance by Department

|  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department | Female | Male | Female | Male |
| Chief Executives | $62.91 \%$ | $37.09 \%$ | $71.18 \%$ | $28.82 \%$ |
| People | $85.12 \%$ | $14.88 \%$ | $84.48 \%$ | $15.52 \%$ |
| Place | $47.72 \%$ | $52.28 \%$ | $49.20 \%$ | $50.80 \%$ |
| SBC Cares | $90.77 \%$ | $9.23 \%$ | $91.00 \%$ | $9.00 \%$ |

Figure 5 - Workforce Gender Balance by Job Group

|  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Group | Female | Male | Female | Male |
| Chief Officers | $60.87 \%$ | $39.13 \%$ | $57.69 \%$ | $42.31 \%$ |
| Single Status | $71.25 \%$ | $28.75 \%$ | $72.08 \%$ | $27.92 \%$ |

Figure 6 - Workforce Gender Balance by Grade

|  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Female | Male | Female | Male |
| Allied Health | $88.64 \%$ | $11.36 \%$ |  |  |
| National Minimum Wage | $31.71 \%$ | $31.71 \%$ | $45.71 \%$ | $54.29 \%$ |
| Business Gateway | $80.00 \%$ | $20.00 \%$ | $75.00 \%$ | $25.00 \%$ |
| Grade 1 | $81.84 \%$ | $18.16 \%$ | $80.71 \%$ | $19.29 \%$ |
| Grade 2 | $39.93 \%$ | $60.07 \%$ | $39.56 \%$ | $60.44 \%$ |
| Grade 3 | $18.80 \%$ | $81.20 \%$ | $17.53 \%$ | $82.47 \%$ |
| Grade 4 | $86.72 \%$ | $13.28 \%$ | $87.50 \%$ | $12.50 \%$ |
| Grade 5 | $77.75 \%$ | $22.25 \%$ | $80.11 \%$ | $19.89 \%$ |
| Grade 6 | $69.50 \%$ | $30.50 \%$ | $69.95 \%$ | $30.05 \%$ |
| Grade 7 | $70.46 \%$ | $29.54 \%$ | $70.03 \%$ | $29.97 \%$ |
| Grade 8 | $59.06 \%$ | $40.94 \%$ | $65.71 \%$ | $34.29 \%$ |
| Grade 9 | $66.57 \%$ | $33.43 \%$ | $66.67 \%$ | $33.33 \%$ |
| Grade 10 | $52.08 \%$ | $47.92 \%$ | $50.60 \%$ | $49.40 \%$ |
| Grade 11 | $21.62 \%$ | $78.38 \%$ | $24.32 \%$ | $75.68 \%$ |
| Grade 12 | $45.16 \%$ | $54.84 \%$ | $48.15 \%$ | $51.85 \%$ |
| Chief Officers | $60.87 \%$ | $39.13 \%$ | $57.69 \%$ | $42.31 \%$ |

Teachers
Figure 7 - Workforce Gender Balance

|  | Staff by \% |  | Staff by Number |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| Female | 77.22 | 76.10 | 1146 | 1057 |
| Male | 22.78 | 23.90 | 338 | 332 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 8 9}$ |

Figure 8 - Workforce Gender Balance by Catchment Area

|  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Catchment Area | Female | Male | Female | Male |
| Berwickshire | $75.14 \%$ | $24.86 \%$ | $72.78 \%$ | $27.22 \%$ |
| Cheviot | 77.84 | $22.16 \%$ | $76.25 \%$ | $23.75 \%$ |
| Eildon East | $76.86 \%$ | $23.14 \%$ | $75.74 \%$ | $24.26 \%$ |
| Eildon West | $80.11 \%$ | $19.89 \%$ | $78.80 \%$ | $21.20 \%$ |
| Teviot \& Liddesdale | $76.19 \%$ | $23.81 \%$ | $76.88 \%$ | $23.13 \%$ |
| Tweeddale | $79.21 \%$ | $20.79 \%$ | $78.33 \%$ | $21.67 \%$ |
| Various | $76.12 \%$ | $23.88 \%$ | $74.46 \%$ | $25.54 \%$ |

Figure 9 - Workforce Gender Balance by Job Group

|  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Group | Female | Male | Female | Male |
| Teachers | $77.22 \%$ | $22.77 \%$ | $76.10 \%$ | $23.90 \%$ |

Figure 10 - Workforce Gender Balance by Grade
Figure 10 - Workforce Gender Balance by Grade

|  | 2015 |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Female | Male | Female | Male |
| Chartered Teacher | $62.50 \%$ | $37.50 \%$ | $63.46 \%$ | $36.54 \%$ |
| Common Scale Teacher | $80.31 \%$ | $19.69 \%$ | $78.89 \%$ | $21.11 \%$ |
| Depute \& Head Teacher | $68.00 \%$ | $32.00 \%$ | $68.32 \%$ | $31.68 \%$ |
| Music Instructor | $50.00 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ | $52.63 \%$ | $47.37 \%$ |
| Principal Teacher | $70.62 \%$ | $29.38 \%$ | $71.26 \%$ | $28.74 \%$ |
| Probationary Teacher | $80.65 \%$ | $19.35 \%$ | $73.08 \%$ | $26.92 \%$ |
| Psychologist | $81.82 \%$ | $18.18 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $25 \%$ |

Figure 11 Workforce Gender balance by Status

|  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Status | Female | Male | Female | Male |
| Casual/Relief | $73.74 \%$ | $26.26 \%$ | $70.56 \%$ | $29.44 \%$ |
| Full Time | $73.20 \%$ | $26.80 \%$ | $71.98 \%$ | $28.02 \%$ |
| Part Time | $92.64 \%$ | $7.36 \%$ | $94.12 \%$ | $5.88 \%$ |

(ii) Age

Figure 12a - Workforce Age Profile (all staff) 2015


Figure 12b Workforce Age Profile (all staff) 2016

## Age Group



Chief Officers and Single Status Staff
Figure 13 -Workforce Age Profile

|  | Staff by \% |  | Staff by Number |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| 16 to 29 | 8.82 | 8.97 | 415 | 383 |
| 30 to 44 | 25.38 | 24.19 | 1194 | 1033 |
| $45-59$ | 51.98 | 53.22 | 2446 | 2273 |
| 60 and above | 13.82 | 1363 | 650 | 582 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 7 1}$ |

Figure 14 - Workforce Age Profile by Department

| Department and Age | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Chief Executives |  |  |
| 16 to 29 | $9.46 \%$ | $8.68 \%$ |
| 30 to 44 | $30.78 \%$ | $29.17 \%$ |
| 45 to 59 | $45.95 \%$ | $52.08 \%$ |
| 60 and above | $13.81 \%$ | $10.07 \%$ |
| People |  |  |
| 16 to 29 | $5.92 \%$ | $5.92 \%$ |
| 30 to 44 | $25.16 \%$ | $24.47 \%$ |
| 45 to 59 | $55.65 \%$ | $56.34 \%$ |
| 60 and above | $13.27 \%$ | $13.26 \%$ |
| Place |  |  |
| 16 to 29 | $29.74 \%$ | $9.92 \%$ |
| 30 to 44 | $52.15 \%$ | $23.54 \%$ |
| 45 to 59 | $14.45 \%$ | $14.08 \%$ |
| 60 and above |  |  |
| SBCares | $12.30 \%$ | $12.80 \%$ |
| 16 to 29 | $24.60 \%$ | $23.18 \%$ |
| 30 to 44 | $49.32 \%$ | $50.06 \%$ |
| 45 to 59 | $13.78 \%$ | $13.96 \%$ |
| 60 and above |  |  |

Figure 15 - Workforce Age Profile by Job Group

| Job Group and Age | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Chief Officers |  |  |
| 16 to 29 | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| 30 to 44 | $8.70 \%$ | $7.69 \%$ |
| 45 to 59 | $86.96 \%$ | $88.46 \%$ |
| 60 and above | $4.35 \%$ | $3.85 \%$ |

Figure 15 - Workforce Age Profile by Job Group - continued

| Job Group and Age | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Single Status |  |  |
| 16 to 29 | $8.86 \%$ | $9.02 \%$ |
| 30 to 44 | $25.46 \%$ | $24.29 \%$ |
| 45 to 59 | $51.82 \%$ | $53.00 \%$ |
| 60 and above | $13.86 \%$ | $13.69 \%$ |

Figure 16a - Workforce Age Profile by Grade

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Grade | $\mathbf{1 6}$ to 29 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ to 44 | $\mathbf{4 5}$ to 59 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ and <br> above |
| National Minimum Wage | $97.56 \%$ | $2.44 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Allied Health | $20.45 \%$ | $20.45 \%$ | $43.18 \%$ | $15.91 \%$ |
| Business Gateway | $0.00 \%$ | $40.00 \%$ | $60.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Grade 1 | $10.94 \%$ | $24.29 \%$ | $47.05 \%$ | $17.72 \%$ |
| Grade 2 | $4.85 \%$ | $19.03 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ | $26.12 \%$ |
| Grade 3 | $4.70 \%$ | $22.22 \%$ | $53.42 \%$ | $19.66 \%$ |
| Grade 4 | $8.87 \%$ | $23.41 \%$ | $52.86 \%$ | $14.85 \%$ |
| Grade 5 | $11.47 \%$ | $24.54 \%$ | $54.36 \%$ | $9.63 \%$ |
| Grade 6 | $7.80 \%$ | $35.78 \%$ | $47.71 \%$ | $8.72 \%$ |
| Grade 7 | $8.40 \%$ | $24.66 \%$ | $53.66 \%$ | $13.28 \%$ |
| Grade 8 | $6.38 \%$ | $34.23 \%$ | $51.34 \%$ | $8.05 \%$ |
| Grade 9 | $4.99 \%$ | $30.79 \%$ | $52.20 \%$ | $12.02 \%$ |
| Grade 10 | $0.00 \%$ | $19.79 \%$ | $70.83 \%$ | $9.38 \%$ |
| Grade 11 | $0.00 \%$ | $24.32 \%$ | $62.16 \%$ | $13.51 \%$ |
| Grade 12 | $0.00 \%$ | $16.13 \%$ | $80.65 \%$ | $3.23 \%$ |
| Chief Officers | $0.00 \%$ | $8.70 \%$ | $86.95 \%$ | $4.35 \%$ |

Figure 16b - Workforce Age Profile by Grade

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | $\mathbf{1 6}$ to 29 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ to 44 | $\mathbf{4 5}$ to 59 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ and <br> above |
| National Minimum Wage | $100.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Business Gateway | $0.00 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Grade 1 | $7.87 \%$ | $23.86 \%$ | $47.72 \%$ | $20.56 \%$ |
| Grade 2 | $4.76 \%$ | $16.85 \%$ | $52.38 \%$ | $26.01 \%$ |
| Grade 3 | $10.31 \%$ | $18.04 \%$ | $54.64 \%$ | $17.01 \%$ |
| Grade 4 | $9.83 \%$ | $22.39 \%$ | $53.81 \%$ | $13.97 \%$ |
| Grade 5 | $10.48 \%$ | $23.12 \%$ | $56.99 \%$ | $9.41 \%$ |
| Grade 6 | $9.63 \%$ | $32.34 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ | $8.03 \%$ |
| Grade 7 | $8.31 \%$ | $25.22 \%$ | $55.19 \%$ | $11.28 \%$ |
| Grade 8 | $5.71 \%$ | $32.65 \%$ | $53.06 \%$ | $8.57 \%$ |
| Grade 9 | $4.49 \%$ | $33.65 \%$ | $49.68 \%$ | $12.18 \%$ |
| Grade 10 | $0.00 \%$ | $18.07 \%$ | $65.06 \%$ | $16.87 \%$ |
| Grade 11 | $0.00 \%$ | $13.51 \%$ | $72.97 \%$ | $13.51 \%$ |
| Grade 12 | $0.00 \%$ | $7.41 \%$ | $88.89 \%$ | $3.70 \%$ |
| Chief Officers | $0.00 \%$ | $7.69 \%$ | $88.46 \%$ | $3.85 \%$ |

Figure 17 - Workforce Age Profile by Status

| Status and Age | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Casual/Relief |  |  |
| 16 to 29 | $13.16 \%$ | $10.60 \%$ |
| 30 to 44 | $25.61 \%$ | $26.14 \%$ |
| 45 to 59 | $37.77 \%$ | $40.40 \%$ |
| 60 and above | $23.46 \%$ | $22.85 \%$ |
| Full Time |  |  |
| 16 to 29 | $9.62 \%$ | $10.75 \%$ |
| 30 to 44 | $25.80 \%$ | $24.00 \%$ |
| 45 to 59 | $55.94 \%$ | $56.06 \%$ |


| 60 and above | $8.64 \%$ | $9.19 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Part time |  |  |
| 16 to 29 | $6.88 \%$ | $7.20 \%$ |
| 30 to 44 | $24.99 \%$ | $23.82 \%$ |
| 45 to 59 | $53.35 \%$ | $54.38 \%$ |
| 60 and above | $14.77 \%$ | $14.60 \%$ |

Teachers
Figure 18 -Workforce Age Profile

|  | Staff by \% |  | Staff by Number |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| 16 to 29 | 10.92 | 10.80 | 162 | 150 |
| 30 to 44 | 34.91 | 35.35 | 518 | 491 |
| 45 to 59 | 41.51 | 41.18 | 616 | 572 |
| 60 and above | 12.67 | 12.67 | 188 | 176 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 8 8}$ |

Figure 19 - Workforce Age Profile by Catchment Area

| Catchment Area and Age | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Berwickshire |  |  |
| 16 to 29 | $11.60 \%$ | $12.43 \%$ |
| 30 to 44 | $31.49 \%$ | $36.09 \%$ |
| 45 to 59 | $50.83 \%$ | $46.15 \%$ |
| 60 and above | $6.08 \%$ | $5.33 \%$ |
| Cheviot |  |  |
| 16 to 29 | $13.77 \%$ | $12.50 \%$ |
| 30 to 44 | $37.72 \%$ | $36.25 \%$ |
| 45 to 59 | $43.71 \%$ | $46.25 \%$ |
| 60 and above | $4.79 \%$ | $5.00 \%$ |
| Eildon East |  |  |
| 16 to 29 | $17.47 \% \%$ | $15.32 \%$ |


| 30 to 44 | $38.86 \%$ | $41.28 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 45 to 59 | $38.43 \%$ | $39.57 \%$ |
| 60 and above | $5.24 \%$ | $3.83 \%$ |
| Eildon West |  |  |
| 16 to 29 | $6.63 \%$ | $9.78 \%$ |
| 30 to 44 | $45.30 \%$ | $44.57 \%$ |
| 45 to 59 | $41.44 \%$ | $38.04 \%$ |
| 60 and above | $6.63 \%$ | $7.61 \%$ |
| Teviot $\&$ Liddesdale |  |  |
| 16 to 29 | $13.69 \%$ | $14.38 \%$ |
| 30 to 44 | $44.05 \%$ | $45.00 \%$ |
| 45 to 59 | $38.69 \%$ | $37.50 \%$ |
| 60 and above | $3.57 \%$ | $3.13 \%$ |
| Tweeddale |  |  |
| 16 to 29 | $10.40 \%$ | $9.85 \%$ |
| 30 to 44 | $39.11 \%$ | $38.92 \%$ |
| 45 to 59 | $48.51 \%$ | $46.80 \%$ |
| 60 and above | $1.98 \%$ | $4.43 \%$ |
| Various |  |  |
| 16 to 29 | $6.18 \%$ | $4.32 \%$ |
| 30 to 44 | $20.79 \%$ | $15.11 \%$ |
| 45 to 59 | $35.11 \%$ | $36.69 \%$ |
| 60 and above | $37.92 \%$ | $43.88 \%$ |

Figure 21a - Workforce Age Profile by Grade

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | $\mathbf{1 6}$ to 29 | $\mathbf{3 0}$ to 44 | $\mathbf{4 5}$ to 59 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ and <br> above |
| Chartered Teacher | $0.00 \%$ | $33.93 \%$ | $60.71 \%$ | $5.36 \%$ |
| Common Scale Teacher | $12.70 \%$ | $33.49 \%$ | $38.82 \%$ | $15.00 \%$ |
| Depute \& Head Teacher | $0.00 \%$ | $43.00 \%$ | $49.00 \%$ | $8.00 \%$ |
| Music Instructor | $4.55 \%$ | $31.82 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ | $13.64 \%$ |
| Principal Teacher | $0.00 \%$ | $42.37 \%$ | $51.98 \%$ | $5.65 \%$ |
| Probationary Teacher | $74.19 \%$ | $19.35 \%$ | $6.45 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Psychologist | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $36.36 \%$ | $54.55 \%$ |

Figure 21b - Workforce Age Profile by Grade

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | $\mathbf{1 6}$ to <br> $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ to 44 | $\mathbf{4 5}$ to 59 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ and <br> above |
| Chartered Teacher | $0.00 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 9 2 \%}$ | $63.46 \%$ | $9.62 \%$ |
| Common Scale Teacher | $12.49 \%$ | $34.89 \%$ | $37.46 \%$ | $15.16 \%$ |
| Depute \& Head Teacher | $0.00 \%$ | $39.60 \%$ | $53.47 \%$ | $6.93 \%$ |
| Music Instructor | $0.00 \%$ | $21.05 \%$ | $57.89 \%$ | $21.05 \%$ |
| Principal Teacher | $1.72 \%$ | $42.53 \%$ | $51.72 \%$ | $4.02 \%$ |
| Probationary Teacher | $80.77 \%$ | $11.54 \%$ | $7.69 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
| Psychologist | $0.00 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ | $50.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |

Figure 20 - Workforce Age Profile by Job Group

| Job Group and Age | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Teachers |  |  |
| 16 to 29 | $10.92 \%$ | $10.80 \%$ |
| 30 to 44 | $34.91 \%$ | $35.35 \%$ |
| 45 to 59 | $41.51 \%$ | $41.18 \%$ |
| 60 and above | $12.67 \%$ | $12.67 \%$ |

Figure 22 - Workforce Age Profile by Status

| Status and Age | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Casual/Relief |  |  |
| 16 to 29 | $13.16 \%$ | $3.74 \%$ |
| 30 to 44 | $25.61 \%$ | $10.28 \%$ |
| 45 to 59 | $37.77 \%$ | $31.31 \%$ |
| 60 and above | $23.46 \%$ | $54.67 \%$ |
| Full Time |  |  |
| 16 to 29 | $9.62 \%$ | $14.73 \%$ |
| 30 to 44 | $25.80 \%$ | $38.43 \%$ |
| 45 to 59 | $55.94 \%$ | $43.74 \%$ |
| 60 and above | $8.64 \%$ | $3.10 \%$ |
| Part Time |  |  |
| 16 to 29 | $6.88 \%$ | $3.31 \%$ |
| 30 to 44 | $24.99 \%$ | $44.85 \%$ |
| 45 to 59 | $53.35 \%$ | $40.44 \%$ |
| 60 and above | $14.77 \%$ | $11.40 \%$ |

## (iii) Ethnic Origin

The proportion of Black and Minority Ethnic employees has fallen slightly over the two years from $0.40 \%$ to $0.37 \%$. The proportion of employees identifying themselves as white has increased slightly over the two years.

Due to the low level of Black Minority Ethnic employees that are employed by the Council no further breakdowns have been included as this may lead to the identification of individuals.

Figure 23a - Workforce Ethnic Origin Profile (all staff) 2015


Figure 23b - Workforce Ethnic Origin Profile (all staff) 2016


## (iv) Disability

When comparing the two years, the level of employees indicating that they have a disability has dropped to $2.37 \%$ of the workforce. However, it has to be considered that this figure may be low as there are in excess of $42 \%$ of employees who have not provided a response to this question, as illustrated in the chart below as "not stated" and "no response.

Figure 24a - Workforce Disability Profile (all staff) 2015
Disability


■ No

- No Response

Not Stated

- Yes

Figure 24b - Workforce Disability Profile (all staff) 2016


## (V) Gender Reassignment

The level of employees indicating that they are currently undergoing or have undergone gender reassignment over the past two years has remained static. Due to the extremely low level of employees who have indicated this, no further analysis is included as this may lead to the identification of individuals.
Figure $\mathbf{2 5}$ - Workforce Gender Reassignment (all staff)

| Gender Reassignment | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| No | $67.56 \%$ | $65.67 \%$ |
| No Response | $22.73 \%$ | $25.71 \%$ |
| Not Stated | $9.58 \%$ | $8.48 \%$ |
| Yes | $0.13 \%$ | $0.14 \%$ |

## (vi) Sexual Orientation

The level of employees who have indicated that their sexual orientation is Bisexual, Gay or Lesbian has increased over the past two years. However, due to the low number of employees who have indicated this, no further analysis is included as this may lead to the identification of individuals.
Figure 26 - Workforce Sexual Orientation for all staff

| Sexual Orientation | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Bisexual | $0.37 \%$ | $0.46 \%$ |
| Gay | $0.16 \%$ | $0.25 \%$ |
| Heterosexual | $66.17 \%$ | $68.25 \%$ |
| Lesbian | $0.13 \%$ | $0.18 \%$ |
| No Response | $21.72 \%$ | $20.04 \%$ |
| Not Stated | $11.46 \%$ | $10.83 \%$ |

(vii) Religion and/or Belief

Whilst there are some small variations between the two years the two highest categories remain No Religious Group and Protestant.
Figure 27 - Workforce Religion or Belief all staff

| Religion or Belief | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Agnostic | $1.36 \%$ | $1.22 \%$ |
| Atheist | $2.67 \%$ | $2.74 \%$ |
| Buddhist | $0.19 \%$ | $0.18 \%$ |
| Catholic | $4.56 \%$ | $4.70 \%$ |
| Hindu | $0.02 \%$ | $0.02 \%$ |
| Jewish | $0.11 \%$ | $0.11 \%$ |
| Muslim | $0.06 \%$ | $0.07 \%$ |
| No Religious Group | $28.62 \%$ | $29.77 \%$ |
| No Response | $20.78 \%$ | $19.13 \%$ |
| Not Stated | $9.60 \%$ | $9.56 \%$ |
| Other | $1.53 \%$ | $1.55 \%$ |
| Other Christian | $5.98 \%$ | $6.31 \%$ |
| Protestant | $24.53 \%$ | $24.65 \%$ |

(viii) Marital Status

Whilst there are some variations between to the two years, the highest category remains: "married".

Figure 28 - Workforce Marital Status all staff
Figure 28 - Workforce Marital Status all staff

| Marital Status | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Civil Partnership | $0.61 \%$ | $0.62 \%$ |
| Divorced | $6.43 \%$ | $6.50 \%$ |
| Married | $44.29 \%$ | $44.42 \%$ |
| No Response | $20.20 \%$ | $18.66 \%$ |
| Not Stated | $5.17 \%$ | $4.96 \%$ |


| Partnered | $10.03 \%$ | $10.51 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Single | $12.46 \%$ | $13.62 \%$ |
| Widowed | $0.81 \%$ | $0.71 \%$ |

## (ix) Carers

The number of employees who have indicated that they have caring responsibilities has slightly increased over the past two years, with the majority indicating that they have no caring responsibilities.

Figure 29 - Workforce Carer - all staff

| Carer | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| No | $47.55 \%$ | $48.00 \%$ |
| No Response | $24.54 \%$ | $22.92 \%$ |
| Not Stated | $9.92 \%$ | $9.05 \%$ |
| Yes | $17.98 \%$ | $20.04 \%$ |

## Training Courses

The following tables display the completion rates of Council employees for five Mandatory training courses in the periods January - December 2015 and 2016 respectively. The data has been analysed by location and the protected characteristics of age and gender. As in other examples no significant data can be derived from the other protected characteristics and sub categories as this may identify individuals. The data shows only completions of Mandatory training.

PREVENT training and the Information Management Course were both launched in 2016. The Information Management Course replaced the Guide to Data Protection Course.

Figure 30a - Training Chief Executives and Single Status

|  |  | A Guide to Data Protection Act 1998 |  | Information <br> Management <br> Awareness |  | PREVENT online |  | Equality \& Diversity Online |  | Child Protection module |  | Information Security |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chief Executives |  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Gender | Female | 60\% | 47\% | N/A | 71\% | N/A | 68\% | 62\% | 51\% | 68\% | 51\% | 67\% | 52\% |
|  | Male | 40\% | 53\% | N/A | 29\% | N/A | 32\% | 38\% | 49\% | 32\% | 49\% | 33\% | 48\% |
| Age | 16 to 29 | 5\% | 7\% | N/A | 8\% | N/A | 8\% | 6\% | 17\% | 6\% | 14\% | 7\% | 15\% |
|  | 30 to 44 | 28\% | 29\% | N/A | 27\% | N/A | 28\% | 28\% | 20\% | 29\% | 27\% | 26\% | 22\% |
|  | 45 to 59 | 52\% | 38\% | N/A | 53\% | N/A | 53\% | 54\% | 48\% | 53\% | 47\% | 52\% | 51\% |
|  | 60 and above | 14\% | 25\% | N/A | 11\% | N/A | 11\% | 12\% | 15\% | 12\% | 13\% | 15\% | 13\% |
| People |  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Gender | Female | 84\% | 88\% | N/A | 89\% | N/A | 88\% | 84\% | 85\% | 83\% | 86\% | 86\% | 85\% |
|  | Male | 16\% | 12\% | N/A | 11\% | N/A | 12\% | 16\% | 15\% | 17\% | 14\% | 14\% | 15\% |
| Age | 16 to 29 | 6\% | 6\% | N/A | 6\% | N/A | 5\% | 7\% | 8\% | 6\% | 8\% | 5\% | 8\% |
|  | 30 to 44 | 23\% | 29\% | N/A | 26\% | N/A | 23\% | 22\% | 26\% | 22\% | 33\% | 24\% | 24\% |


|  | 45 to 59 | 59\% | 56\% | N/A | 59\% | N/A | 62\% | 60\% | 54\% | 60\% | 52\% | 56\% | 59\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 60 and above | 11\% | 10\% | N/A | 10\% | N/A | 10\% | 11\% | 12\% | 12\% | 0.07 | 15\% | 9\% |
| Place |  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Gender | Female | 55\% | 43\% | N/A | 49\% | N/A | 52\% | 50\% | 57\% | 51\% | 58\% | 52\% | 36\% |
|  | Male | 45\% | 57\% | N/A | 51\% | N/A | 48\% | 50\% | 43\% | 49\% | 42\% | 48\% | 64\% |
| Age | 16 to 29 | 10\% | 9\% | N/A | 11\% | N/A | 12\% | 11\% | 9\% | 10\% | 10\% | 12\% | 13\% |
|  | 30 to 44 | 25\% | 24\% | N/A | 23\% | N/A | 26\% | 23\% | 22\% | 25\% | 23\% | 27\% | 25\% |
|  | 45 to 59 | 50\% | 55\% | N/A | 53\% | N/A | 50\% | 52\% | 52\% | 52\% | 51\% | 50\% | 51\% |
|  | 60 and above | 14\% | 13\% | N/A | 13\% | N/A | 12\% | 14\% | 17\% | 13\% | 17\% | 11\% | 11\% |
| SB Cares |  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Gender | Female | 92\% | 95\% | N/A | 92\% | N/A | 91\% | 88\% | 93\% | 87\% | 93\% | 88\% | 83\% |
|  | Male | 8\% | 5\% | N/A | 8\% | N/A | 9\% | 12\% | 7\% | 13\% | 7\% | 12\% | 17\% |
| Age | 16 to 29 | 14\% | 10\% | N/A | 10\% | N/A | 9\% | 14\% | 10\% | 13\% | 9\% | 12\% | 8\% |
|  | 30 to 44 | 20\% | 17\% | N/A | 23\% | N/A | 23\% | 21\% | 21\% | 22\% | 22\% | 27\% | 25\% |
|  | 45 to 59 | 51\% | 57\% | N/A | 52\% | N/A | 51\% | 54\% | 55\% | 54\% | 53\% | 50\% | 55\% |
|  | 60 and above | 15\% | 16\% | N/A | 15\% | N/A | 16\% | 11\% | 14\% | 11\% | 16\% | 12\% | 11\% |
| Course completion Totals by staff numbers |  | 1417 | 490 | 0 | 2487 | 0 | 2511 | 1398 | 1386 | 1570 | 1335 | 1239 | 591 |

Figure 30b - Teaching Staff

|  |  | A Guide to Data Protection Act 1998 |  | Information <br> Management <br> Awareness |  | PREVENT online |  | Equality \& Diversity Online |  | Child Protection module |  | Information Security |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Berwickshire |  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Gender | Female | 74\% | 100\% | N/A | 82\% | N/A | 79\% | 63\% | 80\% | 74\% | 90\% | 78\% | 88\% |
|  | Male | 26\% | 0\% | N/A | 18\% | N/A | 21\% | 38\% | 20\% | 26\% | 10\% | 22\% | 13\% |
| Age | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \text { to } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | 22\% | 0\% | N/A | 14\% | N/A | 14\% | 25\% | 5\% | 16\% | 5\% | 11\% | 0\% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \text { to } \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | 19\% | 60\% | N/A | 34\% | N/A | 32\% | 25\% | 55\% | 26\% | 52\% | 22\% | 63\% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | 48\% | 40\% | N/A | 48\% | N/A | 48\% | 42\% | 35\% | 48\% | 38\% | 61\% | 38\% |
|  | 60 and above | 11\% | 0\% | N/A | 4\% | N/A | 6\% | 8\% | 5\% | 10\% | 5\% | 6\% | 0\% |
| Cheviot |  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Gender | Female | 85\% | 83\% | N/A | 79\% | N/A | 80\% | 83\% | 71\% | 84\% | 71\% | 87\% | 70\% |
|  | Male | 15\% | 17\% | N/A | 21\% | N/A | 20\% | 17\% | 29\% | 16\% | 29\% | 13\% | 30\% |
| Age | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \text { to } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | 15\% | 8\% | N/A | 12\% | N/A | 11\% | 17\% | 16\% | 15\% | 12\% | 17\% | 7\% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \text { to } \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | 27\% | 50\% | N/A | 38\% | N/A | 37\% | 28\% | 47\% | 28\% | 53\% | 30\% | 44\% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | 52\% | 42\% | N/A | 46\% | N/A | 47\% | 52\% | 37\% | 51\% | 32\% | 48\% | 44\% |
|  | 60 and above | 6\% | 0\% | N/A | 3\% | N/A | 5\% | 3\% | 0\% | 6\% | 3\% | 5\% | 4\% |


| Eildon East |  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Female | 74\% | 93\% | N/A | 71\% | N/A | 74\% | 75\% | 82\% | 74\% | 80\% | 71\% | 94\% |
|  | Male | 26\% | 7\% | N/A | 29\% | N/A | 26\% | 25\% | 18\% | 26\% | 20\% | 29\% | 6\% |
| Age | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 16 \text { to } \\ 29 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 13\% | 7\% | N/A | 12\% | N/A | 12\% | 11\% | 13\% | 13\% | 29\% | 13\% | 28\% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \text { to } \\ & 44 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 28\% | 21\% | N/A | 36\% | N/A | 32\% | 26\% | 39\% | 25\% | 38\% | 31\% | 22\% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \text { to } \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | 53\% | 57\% | N/A | 47\% | N/A | 48\% | 57\% | 45\% | 56\% | 29\% | 56\% | 44\% |
|  | 60 and above | 6\% | 14\% | N/A | 5\% | N/A | 7\% | 6\% | 3\% | 6\% | 4\% | 0\% | 6\% |
| Eildon West |  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Gender | Female | 80\% | 100\% | N/A | 85\% | N/A | 88\% | 89\% | 85\% | 81\% | 78\% | 78\% | 84\% |
|  | Male | 20\% | 0\% | N/A | 15\% | N/A | 12\% | 11\% | 15\% | 19\% | 22\% | 22\% | 16\% |
| Age | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \text { to } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | 10\% | 0\% | N/A | 9\% | N/A | 10\% | 12\% | 12\% | 10\% | 28\% | 4\% | 11\% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \text { to } \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | 38\% | 0\% | N/A | 31\% | N/A | 35\% | 33\% | 23\% | 38\% | 25\% | 45\% | 26\% |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 45 \text { to } \\ 59 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 40\% | 50\% | N/A | 47\% | N/A | 43\% | 48\% | 58\% | 41\% | 41\% | 41\% | 58\% |
|  | 60 and above | 12\% | 50\% | N/A | 13\% | N/A | 13\% | 7\% | 8\% | 11\% | 6\% | 11\% | 5\% |


|  <br> Liddesdale |  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Female | 83\% | 71\% | N/A | 76\% | N/A | 79\% | 79\% | 71\% | 85\% | 75\% | 78\% | 69\% |
|  | Male | 17\% | 29\% | N/A | 24\% | N/A | 21\% | 21\% | 29\% | 15\% | 25\% | 22\% | 31\% |
| Age | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \text { to } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | 19\% | 0\% | N/A | 17\% | N/A | 16\% | 16\% | 24\% | 16\% | 18\% | 22\% | 9\% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \text { to } \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | 51\% | 71\% | N/A | 41\% | N/A | 39\% | 49\% | 39\% | 41\% | 45\% | 29\% | 47\% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \text { to } \\ & 59 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 30\% | 29\% | N/A | 39\% | N/A | 40\% | 35\% | 34\% | 41\% | 35\% | 44\% | 42\% |
|  | 60 and above | 0\% | 0\% | N/A | 4\% | N/A | 4\% | 0\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 5\% | 2\% |
| Tweeddale |  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| Gender | Female | 79\% | 50\% | N/A | 83\% | N/A | 76\% | 82\% | 74\% | 81\% | 73\% | 79\% | 69\% |
|  | Male | 21\% | 50\% | N/A | 17\% | N/A | 24\% | 18\% | 26\% | 19\% | 27\% | 21\% | 31\% |
| Age | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \text { to } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | 11\% | 0\% | N/A | 12\% | N/A | 10\% | 11\% | 6\% | 11\% | 11\% | 10\% | 12\% |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 30 \text { to } \\ 44 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 38\% | 40\% | N/A | 41\% | N/A | 39\% | 37\% | 43\% | 38\% | 45\% | 40\% | 46\% |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 45 \text { to } \\ 59 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 48\% | 50\% | N/A | 44\% | N/A | 48\% | 49\% | 46\% | 47\% | 42\% | 48\% | 38\% |
|  | 60 and above | 3\% | 10\% | N/A | 3\% | N/A | 3\% | 3\% | 6\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% |


| Various Locations |  | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Female | 85\% | 100\% | N/A | 83\% | N/A | 85\% | 85\% | 79\% | 88\% | 81\% | 77\% | 86\% |
|  | Male | 15\% | 0\% | N/A | 17\% | N/A | 15\% | 15\% | 21\% | 12\% | 19\% | 23\% | 14\% |
| Age | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \text { to } \\ & 29 \end{aligned}$ | 9\% | 0\% | N/A | 10\% | N/A | 12\% | 12\% | 13\% | 9\% | 11\% | 10\% | 14\% |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 30 \text { to } \\ 44 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 28\% | 25\% | N/A | 27\% | N/A | 27\% | 30\% | 29\% | 23\% | 31\% | 30\% | 29\% |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 45 \text { to } \\ 59 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 45\% | 25\% | N/A | 56\% | N/A | 53\% | 39\% | 42\% | 42\% | 36\% | 43\% | 57\% |
|  | 60 and above | 19\% | 50\% | N/A | 7\% | N/A | 8\% | 18\% | 17\% | 26\% | 22\% | 17\% | 0\% |
| Course completion totals by Teachers |  | 572 | 55 | 0 | 528 | 0 | 660 | 506 | 229 | 570 | 272 | 389 | 161 |

## Grievance

Where employees have a concern they would normally raise the issue directly with their line manager, or ask their Trade Union representative to make an informal approach on their behalf. Therefore in the majority of cases it will be possible to resolve potential grievances informally.
If informal resolution is not possible employees can raise a formal grievance.

If the potential grievance is of a particularly sensitive or complex nature, advice may be sought from HR. It is only in these instances that the actual data is recorded. Therefore the data given below is a record of all the grievances that have involved HR. As the numbers of staff involved in grievances are low no further analysis is included.

Grievances with HR's involvement during 2015 totalled 13 and in 2016 totalled 4. The outcomes of these were either that the grievance was resolved or it is currently ongoing.

## Discipline

The Council recognises that the effective delivery of services is dependent on acceptable standards of conduct and performance of all employees. The Council acknowledges its responsibilities as an employer to determine appropriate standards of conduct and performance and to make employees aware of these standards. Employees also have a responsibility to familiarise themselves with the rules and procedures relating to their employment and to maintain acceptable standards of conduct and performance.

Clearly there may be occasions when any employee does not meet acceptable standards. Minor and non-recurring issues will be dealt with by the appropriate manager through support, advice, guidance,
counselling and/or training, with an emphasis on improving standards and learning from mistakes, rather than apportioning blame.
Despite this, there may be occasions when formal disciplinary action is required. The Council therefore has a disciplinary procedure to ensure that all managers adopt a uniform approach to discipline. The procedure provides a framework to ensure that any disciplinary action is taken in a fair and consistent manner, whilst recognising that each case must be treated on its merits taking account of individual circumstances.

Figures 31 and 32 provide the details of formal disciplinary action broken down by gender, ethnic origin, disability and age. Further analysis has not been undertaken for the remaining protected characteristics as this may identify individuals.

## Figure 31a - Disciplinary action and outcome - Gender, Ethnic origin and Disability

2015

|  | Gender |  | Ethnic Origin |  |  | Disability |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outcome | Female | Male | White-Other British | White-Scottish | Unknown | No | Yes |
| Dismissal | 50\% | 38\% | 75\% | 43\% |  | 44\% | 100\% |
| Final Written Warning | 6\% |  |  | 5\% |  | 4\% |  |
| No Further Action |  | 25\% |  | 10\% |  | 8\% |  |
| Resigned |  | 13\% |  | 5\% |  | 4\% |  |
| Verbal Warning | 22\% |  |  | 14\% | 100\% | 16\% |  |
| Written Warning | 22\% | 25\% | 25\% | 24\% |  | 24\% |  |
| Grand Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

Figure 31b -Disciplinary action and outcome - Gender, Ethnic origin and Disability
2016

|  | Gender |  | Ethnic Origin |  | Disability |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outcome | Female | Male | White-Other | White-Scottish | No | Unknown | Yes |
| Dismissal | 38\% | 22\% |  | 31\% | 25\% | 25\% | 100\% |
| Final Written Warning | 13\% | 11\% | 100\% | 6\% | 17\% |  |  |
| Misc | 25\% |  |  | 13\% |  | 50\% |  |
| No Further Action |  | 22\% |  | 13\% | 17\% |  |  |
| Redeployed |  | 11\% |  | 6\% | 8\% |  |  |
| Resigned | 13\% |  |  | 6\% | 8\% |  |  |
| Verbal Warning |  | 11\% |  | 6\% | 8\% |  |  |
| Written Warning | 13\% | 22\% |  | 19\% | 17\% | 25\% |  |
| Grand Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

Figure 32a -Disciplinary action and outcome - Age
2015

|  | Age Group |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outcome | 16 to 29 | 30 to 44 | 45 to 59 | 60 and above |
| Dismissal |  | $43 \%$ | $60 \%$ |  |
| Final Written Warning |  |  | $7 \%$ |  |
| No Further Action |  |  | $13 \%$ |  |
| Resigned |  | $14 \%$ |  |  |
| Verbal Warning |  | $14 \%$ | $7 \%$ |  |
| Written Warning | $100 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $67 \%$ |  |
| Grand Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $3 \%$ |  |

Figure 32b -Disciplinary action and outcome - Age 2016

|  | Age Group |  |  |  | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outcome | 16-to 29 | 30 to 44 | 45 to 59 | 60 and above |  |
| Dismissal |  | 33\% | 33\% |  | 33\% |
| Final Written Warning |  |  | 17\% |  |  |
| Misc |  |  | 17\% |  |  |
| No Further Action |  | 33\% |  | 50\% | 33\% |
| Redeployed |  |  | 8\% |  |  |
| Resigned |  |  | 8\% |  |  |
| Verbal Warning |  |  | 8\% |  |  |
| Written Warning |  | 33\% | 8\% | 50\% | 33\% |
| Grand Total |  | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

## Applications for Recruitment

The information used within this section of the report is taken from the 'My Job Scotland' National Recruitment Portal for the posts advertised by Scottish Borders Council.

For the purpose of completing the analysis, Scottish Borders Council has used the published end date to determine which year the applicant should be considered within. Due to an upgrade in the National Recruitment Portal applications made and completed on the previous version of the system in early 2015 are not included within the figures.

Analysis based on the applicant's progress through the recruitment process has been included for Gender, Age, Ethnic Origin and Disability as illustrated in the figures below.

Figure 33 Gender

| 2015 | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Number of Applications received | Number of Applications Selected for Interview | Number of Applications Appointed | Gender | Number of Applications received | Number of Applications Selected for Interview | Number of Applications Appointed |
| Female | 62.37\% | 62.34\% | 65.23\% | Female | 61.91\% | 64.64\% | 64.69\% |
| Male | 33.10\% | 32.98\% | 28.32\% | Male | 34.64\% | 29.14\% | 24.00\% |
| Prefer not to answer | 0.40\% | 0.59\% | 0.00\% | Prefer not to answer | 0.33\% | 0.22\% | 0.69\% |
| Not disclosed | 4.13\% | 4.08\% | 6.45\% | Not disclosed | 3.11\% | 6.00\% | 10.62\% |

Figure 34 Age

| 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age Group | Number of Applications received | Number of Applications Selected for Interview | Number of Applications Appointed | Age Group | Number of Applications received | Number of Applications selected for Interview | Number of Applications Appointed |
| 16 to 29 | 34.43\% | 27.45\% | 22.76\% | 16 to 29 | 34.20\% | 26.75\% | 25.93\% |
| 30 to 44 | 31.99\% | 35.62\% | 38.35\% | 30 to 44 | 30.06\% | 32.10\% | 33.66\% |
| 45 to 59 | 25.82\% | 30.35\% | 28.32\% | 45 to 59 | 27.45\% | 32.23\% | 28.00\% |
| 60 and above | 2.41\% | 1.18\% | 2.69\% | 60 and above | 4.10\% | 2.44\% | 1.79\% |
| Not disclosed | 5.35\% | 5.40\% | 7.89\% | Not disclosed | 4.20\% | 6.48\% | 10.62\% |

Figure 35 Ethnic Origin

|  | Number of Applications Received |  |  | Number of Applications Selected for Interview |  |  | Number of Applications Appointed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\#}{ \pm}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\#}{4}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 는 } \\ & \frac{\pi}{0} \\ & \frac{\pi}{0} \stackrel{=}{\Sigma} \end{aligned}$ | - | $$ |  |
| 2015 | 2.52\% | 91.39\% | 6.09\% | 2.83\% | 91.11\% | 6.06\% | 0.36\% | 91.58\% | 8.07\% |  |
| 2016 | 3.25\% | 91.91\% | 4.84\% | 1.70\% | 90.82\% | 7.48\% | 0.55\% | 88.00\% | 11.45\% |  |

Figure 36 Disability

|  | Number of Applications Received |  |  | Number of Applications Selected for Interview |  |  | Number of Applications Appointed |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ㅇ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  | 은 | $\stackrel{\text { ® }}{>}$ |  | ㅇ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |  |
| 2015 | 92.85\% | 1.96\% | 5.19\% | 88.68\% | 6.32\% | 5.00\% | 90.86\% | 2.33\% | 6.81\% |  |
| 2016 | 92.46\% | 3.58\% | 3.97\% | 87.73\% | 5.22\% | 7.04\% | 85.79\% | 2.76\% | 11.45\% |  |

The Council has signed up to the "Disability Confident Level 2" accreditation. This new scheme builds on the Disability Symbol "two ticks" best practices; providing an improved three level Disability Confident journey, helping employers, to recruit and retain disabled people, whilst demonstrating commitment to action and leadership.

As a Disability Confident - Employer we are committed to achieving the scheme's two themes:

- Getting the right people for our business
- Keeping and developing our people

Both of these themes are supported by core actions and activities that once implemented will help us successfully achieve these themes.
Analysis over the two years indicates that there has been an increase in the percentage of applicants who have indicated that they have a disability; analysis also indicates that there is an increase in the percentage of employees with a disability who have been appointed.

The analysis of the other characteristics (sexual orientation, gender reassignment, religion and/or belief, marital status and carer status) has been carried out. However the data is not included due to the low level of individuals who have indicated that they fall into the protected characteristics. The information that has been made available from National Recruitment Portal means, it is not possible to carry out sub analysis on the following: -

- Education (Teachers)
- Temporary and Permanent positions
- Applications for Promotion


## Gender Pay Gap

The gender pay gap is the difference between men and women's hourly earnings.

Using guidance and the standard calculation that is set out by the Equality and Human Rights Commission, the Council's equal pay gap was calculated using data as at $\mathbf{2 6}^{\text {th }}$ February 2017.

The Standard Calculation is:
(a) $/(\mathrm{b}) \times 100=$ Total
$100-$ Total $=(c)$
(a)/(b) = (c)

Where:
(a) Average Hourly Rate for Women
(b) Average Hourly Rate Men
(c) Pay Gap

The average basic hourly pay (excluding overtime) between male and female employees has been calculated and further details have been outlined below:

## Chief Officers and Single Status Staff

- The average hourly rate for women is $£ 11.3009$ (a)
- The average hourly rate for men is $£ 12.8281$ (b)
- The difference in hourly pay is $\mathbf{£} \mathbf{1 . 5 2 7 2}$
- This means that on average women earn $11.90 \%$ (c) less than men


## Teaching Staff

- The average hourly rate for females is $£ 22.6202$ (a)
- The average hourly rate for males is $£ 23.9428$ (b)
- The difference in hourly pay is $\mathbf{£ 1 . 3 2 2 6}$
- This means that on average women in Education earn 5.52\% (c) less than men.
To support our approach to Equal Pay we have formulated an Equal Pay policy. The policy sets out our aims to state and publicise the Council's commitment to the principles of equal pay for work of equal value and to enable the achievement of equal pay at a corporate and service level.
Specifically this includes:
- To commit to the principle of equal pay for work of equal value for all employees
- To eliminate any unfair discrimination, unjust or unlawful practices that impact on pay equality
- To reward fairly the skills and experience of all employees
- To work in partnership with the recognised Trade Unions to ensure employees have confidence in the process of eliminating any bias identified, advance equality of opportunity and foster good relations
- To operate pay and reward systems which are transparent, based on objective criteria and free from bias
- To secure the future together with our employees by attracting and retaining employees who are committed to delivering excellent public services and making us a dynamic and innovative Council by supporting equality of opportunity and valuing diversity within our workforce.

