### **Equality Scoping Template**

This form will help you decide if you need to undertake a full Equality Impact Assessment. In using the form, please read the guidance document 'Issues you will need to consider when undertaking an Equality Impact Assessment'. Equality Impact Assessments need to consider the needs for people covered by the equality strands of Age, Disability, Gender, Race, Religion or Belief and Sexual Orientation.

**Title:** Culture Services Review – Culture Trust Option

1.	Who does the	e proposed piece of	work/policy/proposal affect?	
	Staff	Service Users/Carers	Communities/Voluntary Groups	Public
	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

## 2. What are the aims and objectives of the work/policy/proposal being assessed?

A Review of Cultural Services is part of the ELL ICS Transformation Programme. Central to the review is the identification of the optimal organisational setup and governance model for Cultural Services including the option to form a Culture Trust.

Overall the Review has a savings target of £406k with £276k being attributed to the organisational setup and governance model for Cultural Services.

As part of the organisational set up two options were considered.

#### Option 1- Transfer to a New Organisation

Cultural Services transfer from the Council to be delivered from a new organisation within the third sector.

The main benefits identified from this scenario are:

- i. the capacity to safeguard frontline services by securing targeted savings from rates remission.
- ii. the capacity of a well-designed new organisation to deliver service improvements across a wide-ranging agenda.

The main risks identified are:

- i. financial the direct costs of establishing the new organisation and the risk of unforeseen costs when services are decoupled from the Council.
- ii. alienation the risks of vesting significant operational and strategic control in an organisation that is outside the Council.
- iii. that, in the event of failure, the Council will retain residual responsibility for the provision of these services.

Option 2 – Retention & Restructure within Scottish Borders Council
Services remain within the Council and are placed where they will make the best operational and strategic contribution to the Council's priorities.
The main benefits identified from this scenario are:

i. the opportunity to consider new service groupings that, working together,

can more effectively focus on and deliver key Council priorities.

ii. the low cost of implementation.

The main risks identified are:

i. the impact on frontline services: the savings target will have to be met by reducing the scope and reach of services with the risk that withdrawal from some facilities becomes unavoidable.

The report being presented to Council in Feb 2014 recommends the Council to agree that the Transfer to a Charitable Trust is their preferred option for the future organisation and management of these services.

The Services currently in scope are

Libraries

Museums

**Archives** 

Arts Development

Community centres

Halls

Heart of Hawick

Includes administration and clerical support

		Yes	No
3.	Will the proposal have any impact on equality of opportunity, discrimination between groups or relations between groups?		<b>√</b>
4.	Is the proposal controversial in any way in terms of equality and diversity (including media, academic, voluntary or sector specific interest)?		<b>√</b>
5.	Will the workforce or users of the service be disadvantaged as a result of the proposed work?		<b>√</b>
6.	Is there doubt about answers to any of the above questions (e.g. there is not enough information to draw a conclusion)?	<b>√</b>	

If the answer to any of the above questions is yes or you are unsure of your answers to any of the above a full impact assessment is recommended.

7.	Given the above statement, do you recommend a full impact assessment is completed?	<b>√</b>	
8.	If a full impact assessment is not required briefly explain why and evidence for the decision.	l provi	de

### **Completed By**

Name	lan Brown	Dept.	ELL
Post	Cultural Services Manager	Date	January 2013

For your records, keep one copy of this rapid impact assessment form and send an electronic copy plus any supporting documentation to evidence your decision to simone.doyle@scotborders.gov.uk

### Record of Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)

#### **Section One:**

### **Details of Service**

#### 1.1 Name and brief description of the service, policy or function:

Services currently grouped as Community Services

Libraries

Museums

Archives

Arts Development

Community centres

Halls

Heart of Hawick

Includes administration and clerical support

## 1.2 What are the aims and purpose of the service, policy or function? (consider explicit and implicit aims and outcomes)

A Review of Cultural Services is part of the ELL ICS Transformation Programme. The blueprint for the Review is:

- 1. Identifying the optimal organisational setup and governance model for Cultural Services including the option to form a Culture Trust.
- 2. A Modernisation Review of Library Services

Overall the Review has a savings target of £406k with £276k being attributed to the organisational setup and governance model for Cultural Services.

As part of workstream 1 two options were considered.

#### Option 1- Transfer to a New Organisation

Cultural Services transfer from the Council to be delivered from a new organisation within the third sector.

The main benefits identified from this scenario are:

- iii. the capacity to safeguard frontline services by securing targeted savings from rates remission.
- iv. the capacity of a well-designed new organisation to deliver service improvements across a wide-ranging agenda.

The main risks identified are:

- iv. financial the direct costs of establishing the new organisation and the risk of unforeseen costs when services are decoupled from the Council.
- v. alienation the risks of vesting significant operational and strategic control in an organisation that is outside the Council.
- vi. that, in the event of failure, the Council will retain residual responsibility for the provision of these services.

Option 2 – Retention & Restructure within Scottish Borders Council
Cultural Services remain within the Council and are placed where they will make
the best operational and strategic contribution to the Council's priorities.
The main benefits identified from this scenario are:

- iii. the opportunity to consider new service groupings that, working together, can more effectively focus on and deliver key Council priorities.
- iv. the low cost of implementation.

The main risks identified are:

ii. the impact on frontline services: the savings target will have to be met by reducing the scope and reach of services with the risk that withdrawal from some facilities becomes unavoidable.

The report being presented to Council in Feb 2014 recommends the Council to agree that the Transfer to a Charitable Trust is their preferred option for the future organisation and management of these services. Before a final decision is taken a further report will be presented to the Council and this EIA will be reviewed and revised to take account of the final proposal.

1.3 Which groups or individuals have you involved or consulted with about your service, policy or function? (see guidance: Issues you will need to consider when undertaking an Equality Impact Assessment.)

Transformation Programme Board, Staff, trade unions, elected members,

Other local authorities who have considered/undertaken similar integration projects.

During period up to the final decision to transfer the Services to a Charitable trust further consultation will take place.

### **Section 2: Assessing the Equality Impact of the Review of Cultural Services**

Please use the table below to assess the impact of the service, policy or function on different equality groups. Please mark negative or positive impacts for each equality group.

	Nature of Impact					
Equality Groups	Negati	ve		Positive	No Impact	Please set out details of negative and positive impacts
Age: Effects on children, young people and older people	low	med	High			The information given below is based on an assessment of the recommended option, Transferring Services to a Trust. Should an alternative option be recommended another EIA will be undertaken. Although a Trust will have a more commercial focus it is also a charity and must recycle any profits back into its mainline charitable activity. In order to secure charitable status a Trust will have to define its social, cultural and educational objectives and demonstrate to OSCR (Office of the Scottish Charity Regulator) how it will deliver them.  Any agreement between SBC and the Trust will require the latter to sign up to the public sector Equality Duty which has been implemented to ensure that public bodies play their part in making society fairer. The aims of the duty are three fold:  To eliminate unlawful discrimination, victimisation and harassment  To promote equality of opportunity for all  To foster good relations, promote understanding and challenge prejudice.  Positive  The proposals to transfer services to a Trust provides a mechanism for these services to make the majority of the savings the Council require of them though rates remission rather than through a wide scale reduction or removal of customer facing services. This option therefore provides a level of protection for the delivery of these services to all equality groups.

					<ul> <li>No Impact</li> <li>It is proposed that all services and the vast majority of service points currently operating by Community Services will transfer to the Trust and so will continue to accessible by users of all ages much as happens now. A service level agreement between the Council and the new Trust will define the level of services and how they are delivered and will ensure protection for vulnerable groups is covered.</li> <li>This EIA will be reviewed, revised and re-presented when the Council is asked to finalise the transfer of services to a Trust</li> <li>Currently there are no plans to withdraw any activities currently offered/delivered to any age group or community</li> </ul>
Disability e.g. Effects on people with mental, physical, sensory impairment, learning disability, visible/invisible, progressive or recurring	Low	med	high	✓	Comments as above (Age Equality group)
Gender: Effects on Male, Female, Transgender and Transsexual people	low	Med	high	✓	Comments as above (Age Equality group) But NB see comments under Staff

		N	ature o	of Impact		
Equality Groups	Negati	ve		Positive	No impact	Please set out details of positive and negative impacts
Effects on Race Groups: including colour, nationality, ethnic origins, including minorities (e.g. gypsy travellers, refugees, migrants and asylum seekers)	low	med	high		✓ ·	Comments as above (Age Equality group)
Effects on people with Religious or other Beliefs: different beliefs, customs (including atheists and those with no aligned belief)	low	med	high		<b>√</b>	Comments as above (Age Equality group)
Effects on Sexual Orientation, e.g. Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Heterosexual	low	med	high		<b>√</b>	Comments as above (Age Equality group)

Equality		N	lature	of Impact		
Groups	N	legativ	е	Positive	No Impact	Please set out details of positive and negative impacts
Effects on Poverty Groups: (including impacts on communities in rural areas, people on low wages, who have literacy and other difficulties etc.)	low	med	high		✓ ·	<ul> <li>The proposals will not impact or disadvantage any poverty group, eg the current policies regarding free entry to museums and free access to books, information, computers ad internet are likely to remain.</li> </ul>
Effects on people with health problems/issues or needs (including those with recognised medical and mental health conditions). The effects on public health and the general health of the population caused by the service change should also be assessed here.	low	med	high		✓	Comments as above (Age Equality group)
Effects on staff including full time, part time, permanent temporary, job share etc.	low	Med	high	<b>√</b>		<ul> <li>Positive</li> <li>The proposals to transfer services to a Trust provides a mechanism for these services to make the majority of the savings required of them though rates remission rather than through a wide scale reduction or removal of customer facing services with resulting impact on jobs. This option therefore provides a level of protection for staff as it will protect the number of jobs in the sector.</li> <li>No change</li> </ul>

	<b>✓</b>	• For the majority of current staff there should be no significant change in their grade and conditions. The Trust will maintain the services' commitment to provide volunteering opportunities.
<b>✓</b>		<ul> <li>Medium Negative Impact</li> <li>The proposals will definitely impact on staff in that they will transfer from the Council as their employer to a Trust as their employer. However all staff within the scope of the project and who have contracted hours will have their current terms and conditions protected under TUPE.</li> </ul>
		• Despite the protection of TUPE it is recognised that the transfer from the security of the terms and conditions and protection that employment in the public sector provide to the unknown of what life may be like in a third sector organisation is unsettling and stressful for some staff. Within the Trust there may be changes to structures, roles and remits that may have negative effect on some grades (but this is no different that what could happen if the services remain within SBC).
		• Staff who have no contracted hours regular pattern or expectation of work will not TUPE transfer to the Trust, they may be offered new contracts with the Trust. There is no certainty that these will be offered on the SBC terms and conditions. This currently applies to 58 staff equivalent to 25% of the work force. Some 170 staff could expect to TUPE transfer.
		There is no certainty that new staff recruited to the Trust will be offered terms and conditions as favourable as those transferring under TUPE
		Under the current Council terms and conditions the Redeployment scheme provides a level of protection to any member of staff whose post is changed or removed. There is no certainty that redeployment opportunities within SBC will be continue to be offered to staff transferring to the trust.  Converte: 62.6700 of staff and formula and 66.4000 of formula staff and formula and 66.4000.
		• Currently 63.67% of staff are female and 66.48% of female staff are

	part-time workers. Any changes in work practices and routines may require a change to established work-life routines/balance.

### **Section 3: Summary Findings of Equality Impact Assessment**

# Please summarise the general impact of the service, policy or function and its impact on the equality groups:

Although a Trust will have a more commercial focus it is also a charity and must recycle any profits back into its mainline charitable activity. In order to secure charitable status a Trust will have to define its social, cultural and educational objectives and demonstrate to OSCR (Office of the Scottish Charity Regulator) how it will deliver them.

Any agreement between SBC and the Trust will require the latter to sign up to the public sector Equality Duty which has been implemented to ensure that public bodies play their part in making society fairer. The aims of the duty are three fold:

- To eliminate unlawful discrimination, victimisation and harassment
- To promote equality of opportunity for all
- To foster good relations, promote understanding and challenge prejudice.

In general, the overall impact of this proposal to transfer services to a Trust on users and equality groups will be positive because it provides a mechanism for these services to make the majority of the savings the Council require of them though rates remission rather than through a wide scale reduction or removal of customer facing services. This option therefore provides a level of protection for the delivery of these services to all equality groups and protection of jobs that might otherwise be lost if savings had to be from staffing numbers.

It is proposed that all services and the vast majority of service points currently operating by Community Services will transfer to the Trust and so will continue to accessible by all types of users much as happens now. A service level agreement between the Council and the new Trust will define the level of services and how they are delivered and will ensure protection for vulnerable groups is covered.

This EIA will be reviewed, revised and re-presented when the Council is asked to finalise the transfer of services to a Trust

The main impacted group are staff as they will be transferred from SBC to the new

This is dealt with in more detail in Section 2, Staff.

## 3.2 Please summarise mitigation actions to deal with negative impacts of the service, policy or function:

The mitigating actions to deal with the staffing issues will be through adherence to TUPE, keeping staff informed throughout the process and working with the Trade Unions

### **Section 4: Signing off your Equality Impact Assessment**

I confirm that this report is an accurate and correct account of the EIA findings for this service change and I am satisfied with the conclusion and outcome of the impact assessment:				
Agreed by Manager or Head of Service	Name/Job Title: Glenn Rodger Director of Education and Lifelong Learning			
	Date: 20 February 2014			

Eq	uality Impact Assessment (EIA)
	SUMMARY (Publishing Form)
Title of Policy/Function/Service:	Transferring Community Services to a third sector charitable trust, phase 1 – Preferred Option
Directorate/Department: Head of Service:	Education and Lifelong Learning: Director- Glenn Rodger
Telephone No: Email Address:	01835 824000 grodger@scotborders.gov.uk
Names/ Job titles of Assessors	Ian Brown, Cultural Services Manager, Iain MacAulay, Community Services Business Manager Simone Doyle, Corporate Equality and Diversity Officer
Summary of Policy / Service /Function aims:	Take forward the transfer of Community Services to a third sector charitable trust from preferred option to final decision
Strands Impacted:	Age Disability Gender Race Religion or Belief
Please note: If you leave any box blank in this section you will have decided that your proposed service or function has no impact on that particular strand.	Sexual Orientation Poverty/Social Exclusion Health Staff ✓
Summary of key issues arising and decisions made	In order to secure charitable status a Trust will have to define its social, cultural and educational objectives and demonstrate to OSCR (Office of the Scottish Charity Regulator) how it will deliver them. Any agreement between SBC and the Trust will require the latter to sign up to the public sector Equality Duty  This EIA will be reviewed, revised and re-presented when the Council is asked to finalise the transfer of services to a Trust
	The main impacted group will be staff as they will transfer from the Council to the new organisation.
Summary of key recommendations	The mitigating actions to deal with the staffing issues will be through adherence to TUPE, keeping staff informed throughout the process and working with the Trade Unions
Agreed by Head of Service	Name: Date: Glenn Rodger 20 Feb 2014