## NEW CLLD FUND Local Action Group meeting

30 August 2022 (10.00am – Microsoft Teams)

## NOTE OF MEETING

## Present:

Gary White, Peebles CAN (GW) – Voluntary – Chair Hans Watl, Federation of Small Businesses (HW) - Private Heather Batsch, The Bridge (HB) – Voluntary

## Secretariat:

Simon Lynch, Scottish Borders Council (Economic Development) (SL) Linda Cornwall, Scottish Borders Council (Economic Development) (LiC) Nesta Todd, Scottish Borders Council (Economic Development) (NT) Gail Blacklock, Scottish Borders Council (Economic Development) (GB)

		Action
1.0	Apologies Luke Comins, Tweed Forum (LC) – Voluntary Lesley Forsyth, Scottish Borders Council (LF) – Public Annabelle Scott, Messrs A A Scott (AS) - Private	
	SL confirmed that only 3 members were present with no public sector attendance. A minimum of 4 members required to be in attendance (<51% public sector) therefore the meeting was not quorate and any decisions made at the meeting would require email confirmation from LC/AS/LF (or Sam Smith, Chief Officer – Economic Development in LF's leave absence as Lead Partner representation).	
2.0	<b>Conflicts of Interest</b> There were no conflicts of interest noted.	
3.0	Minutes of the Last Meeting and Matters Arising GW asked members present to agree the Minutes of 15 <sup>th</sup> August 2022. Proposer: AS (agreed to be Proposer on circulation of Minutes) Seconder: HB LC/AS will be emailed to agree the Minutes.	LiC

	Concerns expressed on current level of membership and the fact the meeting is not quorate today due to lack of available members, also concerns on making decisions on funding where membership is currently very sparse on representation from a number of disciplines. GW stated that the assumption that anyone who applies will join the LAG unless an existing member objects. LAG Training was discussed in depth. Concerns that new LAG Members will be expected to be fully up to speed with scoring/decision-making within a few weeks of recruitment. List was produced of training docs required to be developed:- 1. Group Protocol (in draft) 2. MOU (in draft) 3. HLS Process/Scoring Process (Partial) 4. Overview of Application Process (to be developed) 5. Overview of Local Strategy Docs (to be developed) 5. Overview of Local Strategy Docs (to be developed) There were concerns that only one part-time SBC Support Staff (JP) would be available to develop these as SL and LiC are likely to be busy working with applicants developing applications from end of week. GB and NT are currently involved with Events activities and all staff are also involved with the administration of the Community Renewal Fund. It was recognised that it was important the amount of development documentation produced required to be streamlined where possible ie. use of existing RCTC scoring paperwork/LEADER LAG recruitment paperwork adapted/simplified etc. GW offered to provide HLS training for new members/refresher training for existing members. HW suggested for first round of decision-making, as well as LAG training documents produced, each LAG member would budy up with a suggested first round would include 5 new LAG members demonstrating experience in grant funding budying up with existing LAG member. Discussion ensued as to whether the Group Protocol and MOU were left in draft until new members were recruited but general consensus was that these needed to be finalised and could have new members input if more funding available in 202	GW
6.0	Youth Borders Contract (moved from No. 7 to No. 6) Due to the lack of members at the meeting it was decided that SL would email all LAG members to update on current position and obtain agreement on way forward.	SL

7.0	<b>Grant Fund Application Forms &amp; Process (moved from No. 6 to No. 7)</b> SL took LAG members through the 3 application documents. HW advised that it would be best to ask businesses to supply Accounts as opposed to Tax Returns which would provide limited useful information. Also would be useful to ask for an up to date list of Board Members/Trustees. HB advised it would also be best to ask for accounts from community organisations as dependent on way groups/charities set up, not all are available on Companies House/OSCR to view. For new start ups additional requirements will be set on an individual basis. Application Form B – it will be important to advise applicants that the Cultural Benchmark information is not required for decision making but more for monitoring and evaluation purposes towards the end of the project. Members suggested:-	
	<ul> <li>Level 1 and Level 2 applications to be split.</li> <li>Level 2 application to incorporate the additional Project Plan questions.</li> <li>Application guidance to remain as one document</li> </ul>	
	SL to make necessary changes and email around remaining LAG members for approval as it is important the application documentation is approved and ready to send out to applicants when fund launches in next few days.	SL
8.0	Dates and Times of Future Meeting(s)	
	Tuesday 20 <sup>th</sup> September 2022 – LAG Training – Time to be agreed Tuesday 27 <sup>th</sup> September 2022 – 1 <sup>st</sup> Round Applications – 10.00am-3.00pm (Tweed Horizons)	