## Response ID ANON-VDDE-RPYA-Y

Submitted to Proposed Scottish Borders Local Development Plan Submitted on 2021-01-17 12:56:39

## About you

Are you responding as an: individual, group / organisation, agent?

Individual

Individual

What is your name?

Individual name:

Eleanor Lee

What is your email address?

**Individual Email:** 

What is your address?

Address line 1:

Address line 2 :

Address line 3:

Town / city:

Postcode:

What is your contact telephone number?

Contact number:

**Proposed Local Development Plan Menu** 

Where would you like to go?

Submit your response to the consultation (Exit)

Comment on Sites in Settlements A to E

Which Site are you commenting on?

Site A to E:

Eshiels: BESHI001: Land at Eshiels: Business and Industrial

## What are your comments regarding this Site?:

As a resident of Eshiels I wish to object to the proposed development BESHI001 included in the draft Local Development Plan (LDP) for the following reasons:

- Residents of Eshiels were never consulted about this specific development. It never appeared in the Main Issues Report (MIR), and now Scottish Borders Council (SBC) tells us it will be included in the final LDP they submit to the Scottish Government despite our objections now. I don't believe SBC have followed due process. The residents of Eshiels and Glentress never had the opportunity to comment properly on this proposal in the MIR
- It would be totally incongruous to build a business park two miles out from Peebles surrounded by agricultural ground on three sides and the busy A72 road on the fourth. This is counter to SBC Quality Standards Policy PMD 2
- This is a rural area; Eshiels is not connected to Peebles. A business park is not in keeping and has no right-of-place. It would be counter to the ethos of the Tweed Valley Special Landscape Plan to build a business park. The development would be in conflict with SBC Special Landscape Areas Policy EP 5, Protection of Greenspace EP 11, and Green Networks Policy EP12
- The site would be unsightly and give visitors a poor impression of the Tweed Valley. It will have a negative effect on tourism in the immediate area which is just

the opposite of what SBC is trying to achieve in conjunction with Forestry and Land Scotland at Glentress forest;

- Noise and light pollution will be considerable which is against Sustainability Policy PMD 1. Screening by planting trees will take over 20-years to have any impact:
- The site is not good use of tax-payers money. It's only 5 hectares (12 acres) in size. Around 35% of that is unusable (too steep) whilst further ground will be lost to screen planting and the requirement for a new road layout at the entrance and of course across the site.
- I am concerned this will contribute to ribbon development all along the Tweed Valley from Peebles to Innerleithen. The rest of the valley will be open season for developers if this business park goes ahead;
- It must be against the SBC climate emergency agenda to promote a business park 2-miles outside of a town where walking to the site is not particularly convenient relative to sites within the town.
- SBC are out-sourcing their perceived problem beyond the bounds of Peebles town and ignoring their prior designation of areas within Peebles. If there is a real demand for business land then SBC should insist that land which is designated 'Mixed Use' in reality becomes 'Mixed Use' rather than letting developers build the land with 100% houses which then puts further pressure on the infrastructure and leaves SBC without their business land.
- The demand for new business parks has not been proven by SBC. I question the need for piece-meal business parks such at the one proposed at Eshiels Other sites which could have an element of business land according to SBC's own designation are available north of the river within Peebles:
- RPEEB001 (0.41 ha) at Dovecot Road
- MPEEB007 (2.25ha) at March Street Mill in central Peebles.
- MPEEB006 (6.41 ha) at Rosetta Road
- Currently the main business park in Peebles is south of the river (Calvary Park). It sits only 50% full. There is ample space for business units here for many years to come.
- Surface water run-off from the site will add to the flood risk. Just the other side of the A72 is considered flood plain by SEPA. The site regularly has water sitting on it after heavy rain and the height difference ('fall') between the site and the Tweed into which it would drain is less than 5 meters over approx 1km length.
- The development will reduce biodiversity in the area removing an important corridor by which wildlife links the country with the town;
- The owner has stated he is unwilling to sell. A Compulsory Purchase Order will be required. Further unnecessary cost and hassle for a site that's just not worth it as a business park in the first place. It should remain as agricultural ground, protected in the Tweed Valley Special Landscape Area.

## What would you like to do now?

Proposed Local Development Plan Menu (includes Exit option)