Response ID ANON-VDDE-RPXW-M

Submitted to Proposed Scottish Borders Local Development Plan Submitted on 2021-01-07 11:02:31

About you

Are you responding as an: individual, group / organisation, agent?

Individual

Individual

What is your name?

Individual name: Shelagh King

What is your email address?

Individual Email:

What is your address?

Address line 1:

Address line 2 :

Address line 3:

Town / city:

Postcode:

What is your contact telephone number?

Contact number:

Proposed Local Development Plan Menu

Where would you like to go?

Submit your response to the consultation (Exit)

Comment on Sites in Settlements F to H

Which Site are you commenting on?

Settlements F to H:

Galashiels: SGALA016: Hollybush Valley: Longer Term Mixed Use

What are your comments regarding this site?:

1. The information on the Hollybush proposals states, " new road through the Policies on Balmoral Avenue side"

To build a road through the Gala Policies would surely mean some felling of some mature trees which is detrimental to the environment and climate. Removing trees would mean less absorbsion of carbon emmissions, and less absorbtion of exess ground warer. Laying tarmac and paving also reduces

absorbtion of water. Both of these can lead to more flooding

It also means encouraging more motorised traffic when efforts should be being made to reduce motorised traffic and encourage walking and cycling. The gala policies is an area where people can get away from traffic, and enjoy nature freely. It should not become yet another space for traffic, with artificial planned and managed space for pedestrians and nature.

2. A large built up area to the southwest of Gala Hill and the Policieswould spoil the continuos acees to the countryside from Gala, through the policies and Gala hill.

As I said before, natural water absorbtion is hampered by roads paved areas, and of course buildings.

It wouls be better to concentrate on making the best use of already built up areas, ratther than expanding the built up area.

At a time when the council should be consentrating on reducing carbon emissions and planting more trees, extending built up areas seems wrong.

What would you like to do now?

Comment on other Sites in F to H settlements

Comment on Sites in Settlements F to H - page 2

Which Site are you commenting on?

Settlements F to H:

Galashiels: SGALA005: Hollybush Valley: Longer Term Mixed Use

What are your comments regarding this site?:

A large built up area to the southwest of Gala Hill and the Policieswould spoil the continuos acees to the countryside from Gala, through the policies and Gala hill. As I said before, natural water absorbtion is hampered by roads paved areas, and of course buildings.

It wouls be better to concentrate on making the best use of already built up areas, ratther than expanding the built up area.

At a time when the council should be consentrating on reducing carbon emissions and planting more trees, extending built up areas seems wrong.

Which Site are you commenting on?

Settlements F to H:

What are your comments regarding this site?:

Which Site are you commenting on?

Settlements F to H:

What are your comments regarding this site?:

Which Site are you commenting on?

Settlements F to H:

What are your comments regarding this site?:

Which Site are you commenting on?

Settlements F to H:

What are your comments regarding this site?:

What would you like to do now?

Proposed Local Development Plan Menu (includes Exit option)